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Introduction

The presence of both a geostationary satellite link and a terrestrial local wireless link on the same path of a given network connection is becoming increasingly common, thanks to the popularity of the IEEE 802.11 protocol [1]. In the following, we will refer to this ubiquitous wireless terrestrial protocol as Wi-Fi, the popular trademark of the association founded to set interoperability standards for it. The most common situation where a hybrid network comes into play is having a Wi-Fi link at the network edge and the satellite link somewhere in the network core. Example of scenarios where this can happen are ships or airplanes where Internet connection on board is provided through a Wi-Fi access point and a satellite link with a geostationary satellite; a small office located in remote or isolated area without cabled Internet access; a rescue team using a mobile ad hoc Wi-Fi network connected to the Internet or to a command centre through a mobile gateway using a satellite link [2, 3]. The serialisation of terrestrial and satellite wireless links is problematic from the point of view of a number of applications, be they based on video streaming, interactive audio or TCP. The reason is the combination of high latency, caused by the geostationary satellite link, and frequent, correlated packet losses caused by the local wireless terrestrial link. In fact, GEO satellites are placed in equatorial orbit at 36,000 km altitude, which takes the radio signal about 250 ms to travel up and down. Satellite systems exhibit low packet loss most of the time, with typical project constraints of $10^{-8}$ bit error rate 99% of the time, which translates into a packet error rate of $10^{-4}$, except for a few days a year. Wi-Fi links, on the other hand, have quite different characteristics. While the delay introduced by the MAC level is in the order of the milliseconds, and is consequently too small to affect most applications, its packet loss characteristics are generally far from negligible. In fact, multipath fading, interference and collisions affect most environments, causing correlated packet losses: this means that often more than one packet at a time is lost for a single fading event [3].

In chapter 2 we describe the hybrid wireless network; in fact natural and man-made disasters, like earthquakes, floods, storms, structural collapses, etc.,
pose a challenge to public emergency services. In order to cope with such
disasters in a fast and coordinated manner, communications between rescue
squads, both among themselves and outside the assisted zone, play a critical
role. This chapter is subdivided in two main sections: in section 2.1 we evalu-
ated the performance in terms of packet loss, delay and jitter for the satellite
path, wireless path and finally for the full path, while in section 2.2 we study
how to improve the QoS (Quality of Service) requirements of the multimedia
flows. This portion of the study offers an subjective assessment of video qual-
ity as a function of channel error characteristics, together with explanations
for the performance degradations based on network-level effects.

From a user’s perspective, the details of the wireless technology used are
generally unimportant: it’s the performance of the final wireless-based ap-
lication that counts. We distinguish two basic types of user applications:
real-time datagram applications, with various quality of service constraints,
and TCP-based applications, whose main performance indicator is the con-
nection throughput. Analysing the performance of these applications on a
wireless channel is challenging, because of the highly variable characteristics
of the channel and the number of different causes that influence them. The
aim of this dissertation is to analyse the behaviour of a wireless channel at the
packet level in order to build a model able to capture the channel’s main char-
acteristics. In order to validate this model, we will resort to simulation and
real-world measurements. A good knowledge of physical layer and the funda-
mental limitations in the performance of mobile communications system are
necessary tools for engineers as well as for research scientists that wish to gain
to a deeper understanding of a possible channel modelling. Therefore, in chap-
ter 3 we describe a typical scenario for terrestrial mobile radio channel and the
principal cause of the information loss (multipath fading). In fact, multipath
propagation caused by reflections and the scattering of radio waves lead to a
situation in which the signal phase is shifted depending on the length of each of
the paths between transmitter and receiver, where signals carried over differ-
ent paths are superimposed. This interference can strengthen, distort or even
eliminate the received signal. Conditions that cause fading are illustrated in
the third chapter. We will examine the fading effects that characterize mobile
communications: large-scale fading and small-scale fading. Large-scale fading
represents the average signal power attenuation, also called path loss, due to
motion over large areas, while the small-scale fading refers to the sometimes
dramatic changes in signal amplitude and phase that can be experienced as
the result of small changes in the spatial separation between receiver and
transmitter.

Knowledge of the properties of the time-varying frequency and space dis-
persive mobile radio channel is necessary for a good understanding of the
phenomena that occur in wireless communications and for the design of mo-
bile communication systems and networks. In chapter 4, an overview of state
of the art in the modeling of the mobile radio channel is given.
Channel models are of particular interest for radio communication systems and network technologies like UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunications System) and WLAN (Wireless Local Area Networks). Thus, this work focuses on mobile terrestrial channel modelling, in general, and, in particular, on the modeling of radio wave propagation in urban and suburban areas. We will investigate the propagation models that characterize signal strength over large transmitter-receiver separation distances (large-scale propagation models) and models that characterize the rapid fluctuations of the received signal strength over short distances (small-scale models), both in outdoor and indoor scenarios. Chapter 4 is divided in two main sections: Section 4.1 addresses the outdoor models, while Section 4.2 focuses on the indoor models.

In Chapter 5, we describe the traditional approach to modelling packet losses through the use of a classical network model, such as Bernoulli and Gilbert-Elliott. In order to choose the best model, we must consider the statistical properties of them. For example, the Bernoulli model is based on a memoryless process. Thus, output values will be uncorrelated.

In Chapter 6, we will describe a complex and articulated campaign of measurements, together with tools used to generate and analyze the data. The campaign of measurements is conducted in outdoor and in indoor environments. The packet loss and the throughput are directly measured using a traffic generator and a receiver inserted at MAC layer.

Our goal would be to obtain a certain number of models, derived from real measurements, according to the environment characteristics; this argument is dealt in chapter 7 for the outdoor model.

In chapter 8 we describe the results obtained during the indoor measurement campaign, with are especially focused on burst length and gap length distributions. This chapter is subdivided in two main section: Section 8.1 address the main frame level statistics, while section 8.2 focuses on presents the results obtained at bit level.

Finally in chapter 9 we concentrate on frame error models in indoor environment targeted to TCP-based applications, for which the combination of high, correlated packet loss and high latency may cause very bad performance. Since TCP interprets packet loss as a sign of congestion, thus slowing its pace to avoid worsening the network conditions, frame errors due to corruption causes decreased throughput, even in the absence of network congestion.
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Hybrid network

Natural and man-made disasters, like earthquakes, floods, storms, structural collapses, etc., pose a challenge to public emergency services. In order to cope with such disasters in a fast and coordinated manner, communications between rescue squads, both among themselves and outside the assisted zone, play a critical role. In the hours and even days following these events, communication is often limited, due to the damages caused by the disaster to land connections or because the event occurred in an area without infrastructures.

Wireless communications are the reply to these needs; wireless networks are the easy, fast, and intuitive way for providing the first communications means for the depicted scenarios. In particular, MANET (Mobile Ad hoc NETworks) [1] is the topology generally contemplated in these situations, in which handheld devices or notebooks use wireless ad-hoc networks for communications between each other and with a special node, which is a gateway for outside communications, through a satellite link.

Given such a network, we assume that user data are flows derived from multimedia and real-time applications, like voice calls, video streaming, and video conferencing, which make use of UDP (User Datagram Protocol) transport protocol.

2.1 Performance evaluation

In this chapter, we present a set of experimental results, which show the behaviour of UDP traffic flows in a basic MANET testbed developed at ISTI. The testbed is constituted by a set of portable computers and handsets, interconnected among them in wireless mode, and a Skyplex Data satellite link [2, 5]. The portable computer that acts as a gateway between the ad-hoc network and the Skyplex box is a Debian Linux box (kernel 2.6.8, Celeron 1.133 GHz with 256 MB of RAM).

Wireless LANs [6, 7] have the advantage of low delivery delays, but they have drawbacks such as the high channel errors due to multiple paths and
data collisions. Satellite links have an inherent broadcast facility, but they suffer from high delivery delays and atmospheric adverse conditions which may worsen the Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR). Thus, the whole network, made up of WLAN nodes and the satellite link, is not a trivial environment for QoS (Quality of Service) requirements of the multimedia flows.

The packet loss, the data jitter and delay are separately measured in both the WLAN and the satellite link; then, these three parameters are evaluated as total, combined effects on the hybrid wireless network considered. The traffic flows used are both a simple CBR (Constant Bit Rate) generator, characterized by the size of the IP datagram packets and the packet generation time interval, and a multimedia and real-world video application. The investigation aims at evaluating the QoS performance of traffic flows, both in unicast and in broadcast/multicast transmission mode. Delivery delays, from the application layer’s point of view, are finally calculated, which are useful for estimating the buffer’s sizes of the receiving applications.

2.1.1 Testbed configuration

The testbed of transmission experiments is depicted in 9.1.

The wireless path at ISTI is made up of notebooks (Celeron 1.133 GHz with 256 MB of RAM) equipped with a Debian Linux operating system (kernel 2.6.8) and with wireless PCMCIA network cards of different manufacturers (CNet CNWLC-811 IEEE802.11b for transmission, Conceptronic C54RC IEEE802.11g for reception), always operating with the standard DCF (Distributed Contention Function) [7] contention access mode at a rate of 11 Mbps,
and acting as traffic sources. An host acts as a gateway between the WLAN network and the Skyplex box, and forwards packets towards the satellite.

The satellite link is a Ka channel of Eutelsat HotBird 6 which uses the technology known as Skyplex Data [2, 3, 5]. This is an IP-based satellite network derived from the DVB-RCS [4] and it is used here in a standard point-to-point (for unicast traffic) and point-to-multi-point (for multicast traffic) topology.

We have used remote Linux hosts in Bari and in Genoa as traffic sinks on the other side of the satellite link.

In unicast transmissions, WLAN cards use standard IEEE802.11 settings; in particular, the DCF Retry Limit value is set to 7 for every outgoing MAC frame, i.e. up to 7 transmission retries are performed in case of unacknowledged frames. In multicast transmissions, the value of the Retry Limit is 0, i.e. the frame is transmitted only one time, regardless its good reception.

### 2.1.2 The Measurements

In the first step, UDP packets have been transmitted through a simple CBR generator written in C, in order to testing the single WLAN or satellite links and investigating their basic performance; about 24 hours of measures has been performed both on the satellite channel and on the WLAN channel, with single tests of 45 minutes each; then a real-world MPEG-4 video stream has been transmitted with the software VLC (Video Lan Client) on the full WLAN and satellite path.

A software in C has been developed with the purpose of tracking and collecting packets in the cardinal points of the path, i.e. at the WLAN source, the satellite gateway and the remote sink. This software runs on Linux in user mode, so the collected statistics refer to the application’s layer; all the time measures (the end-to-end jitter and delay) have the precision of the Linux kernel clock. The gettimeofday() system call has been used; it returns microseconds-figure time from the Linux System Time.

We have gathered our measurements with notebooks located in fixed indoor positions at about 10 meters apart from the satellite gateway, separated by thin walls and doors, and with people working around involved in the common activities of an office environment.

Several measurement campaigns have been carried out, with CBR traffic at different transmission rates, varying both the packet lengths and their inter-generation time. It is well known that WLAN communication’s performances widely change according to environment, people’s presence and movements; thus, we here present only the most representative tests of the common situation observed, and we introduce a way for deriving Retry 0 transmission performances from the Retry 7 ones, so that a direct comparison is possible between the two WLAN operating modes.

Every test presented in this work lasts 45 minutes; every packet generated has its own sequence number; for all packets that travel through the three
cardinal points of observation of the network, the software sniffs pieces of information like the sequence number, the packet length and the transit time.

Each packet may experience a different transmission delay, so a jitter, defined as the difference between two transmission delays, is induced on the packet arrival time \[ t_{RX,n} \] and \[ t_{TX,n} \]. By definition, in every single hop, the first packet received has a null jitter, while the nth packet (transmitted at the time \( t_{TX,n} \) and received at the time \( t_{RX,n} \)) has the jitter \( j_{n,1} \) given by

\[
j_{n,1} = (t_{RX,n} - t_{RX,1}) - (t_{TX,n} - t_{TX,1})
\]

i.e. the jitter \( j_{n,1} \) is referred to the transmission delay of the first packet, because this is comfortable for the delay calculation.

Since it’s difficult to synchronize the clocks of the observation points and every clock has its own drift, we assumed linear clocks’ drift. A linear correction has thus been adopted along with every interval of 12 consecutive hours of measures. In short, the slope of the linear part of the jitter drift, caused by the different clock drift of the transmitting and receiving side, has been calculated for such intervals, and a linear correction proportional to the time was applied at every \( j_{n,1} \). Then, an offset \( O \) is added to the jitters so calculated in order to obtain the packet delays \( D_n \) given by

\[
D_n = j_{n,1} + O \quad D_{hop} = \min \{D_n\}
\]

so that the minimum delay obtained is equal to the minimum delay of the hop \( D_{hop} \), measured as the half of the minimum round trip time of 1000 ping packets sent with the same size and inter-generation time of the analyzed packets.

Furthermore, continuous jitter calculation, as specified by RTP protocol in [10], is evaluated as

\[
J_n = J_{n-1} + \frac{|j_{n,n-1}| - J_{n-1}}{16}
\]

where the difference between transmission delays \( j_{n,n-1} \) is referred to consecutive packets. From this point, when we speak of packet jitter, we are referring to this \( J_n \).

The satellite path

Fig. 2.2 shows the packet delay of the typical satellite transmission test, for a CBR traffic with UDP packets of 1000 bytes (IP header included) and packet inter-generation time of 20 ms, following a generated rate of 400 kbps. The figure shows a packet delay quite regular and concentrated, except for rare peaks, in which the system seems to recover after a sort of bad situation. It is worth pointing out that the satellite used performs on board processing, and is shared in TDMA (Time Division Multiple Access) mode with other users. Fig. 2.4 and 2.5 show the details of the regular band and of the peaks
of the packet delay, respectively. In particular Fig. 2.5 shows what's happens when, for a less then 1 second time interval, packets are not transmitted in the satellite path: when transmission resumes the delay has piled up but the situation restores in about 5 seconds. This phenomenon has been observed in all the satellite transmission tests and the recovery speed is due to the channel available bandwidth, that is 2 Mbps. Fig. 2.3 presents the CDF (Cumulative Distribution Function) for the packet delay.

The satellite channel has resulted to be almost error-free; very few losses have been observed so that is not possible to give a precision value of the packet loss. No single packet errors have been registered and this is probably due to the peculiarity of Skyplex architecture; error bursts of 2 or 3 consecutive packets have rather been seen.

The minimum delay measured on the satellite path has been 0.284619 s; table 2.1 shows the mean and standard deviation of the packet delay and the mean packet jitter.

Table 2.1. Packet loss, packet delay and packet jitter statistics of the satellite and WLAN transmissions, relative to 45 minutes of CBR traffic presented in Fig. 2, 6 and 9.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Packet loss probability</th>
<th>Packet delay [s]</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Mean jitter [s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.334329</td>
<td>0.104579</td>
<td>0.0133456</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLAN Retry 0</td>
<td>3.33 · 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.000912</td>
<td>0.000094</td>
<td>0.000028</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLAN Retry 7</td>
<td>4.82 · 10⁻³</td>
<td>0.001379</td>
<td>0.001080</td>
<td>0.000669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLAN “Retry 0” emulated</td>
<td>2.12 · 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.000978</td>
<td>0.000091</td>
<td>0.000022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The WLAN path

Fig. 2.6 shows the packet delay of the typical WLAN transmission test with Retry 0 (suitable for multicast and broadcast transmission), for a CBR traffic with UDP packets of 1000 bytes and packet inter-generation time of 20 ms. The delay is quite constant and delimited within a narrow band. Fig. 2.7 and 2.8 show the size of packet error bursts during the test. The packet loss, the mean packet delay, its standard deviation and the mean packet jitter for this test are shown in table 2.1.

Fig. 2.9 shows the packet delay of a WLAN transmission test like the previous one, but with Retry 7 (suitable for unicast transmission). It’s plain that the different bands in which the delays of the packets are gathered correspond with the retries the WLAN MAC layer operates. As shown in table 2.1, the mean and standard deviation of packet delay for WLAN Retry 7 test is greater than the WLAN Retry 0, but the packet loss benefits of the retries. This is plain visible in Fig. 2.10, that shows the size of packet error bursts
for the Retry 7 test, and in Fig. 2.12, that show a comparison of the PMF (Probability Mass Function) of the size of packet error bursts for the Retry 0 and Retry 7 tests. In Fig. 2.11 the CDF for the packet delay of Retry 0 and Retry 7 tests are compared.

![Diagram of packet delay vs time](image)

**Fig. 2.2.** Typical trend of packet delay for the satellite channel in 45 minutes of transmission.

It’s important to notice that WLAN channel’s performances widely change due to radio multipaths and environment variations, and the two WLAN tests shown before are not really comparable. In fact in other Retry 7 tests very heavy packet losses have been found too.

Instead it’s easy to discriminate for the most part of the packets of the Retry 7 test, the ones received at the first MAC try from that received at subsequent retries, simply watching the delay accused by each packet. If the Retry would be set to 0 all packets transmitted with MAC retries would have been lost. So it’s possible for the Retry 7 test to go back and to achieve roughly what’s would be happened in the same situation if the Retry would be set to 0. Fig. 2.13 show the CDF of packet delay for the WLAN channel with Retry 7 compared to the one obtained for the first MAC try only of the same transmission (for the purpose packets with delay less or equal to 0.0026s have been considered first MAC try packets). Table 2.1 show the packet loss, the mean packet delay, its standard deviation and the mean packet jitter for this comparable “Retry 0” emulated transmission.
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Fig. 2.4. Details of firsts 40 seconds of 2.2.
Fig. 2.5. Details of a delay peak of 2.2.

Fig. 2.6. Typical trend of packet delay for the WLAN channel with Retry 0 in 45 minutes of transmission.
Fig. 2.7. Lost packets for WLAN transmission with Retry 0 of 2.6.
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Fig. 2.9. Typical trend of packet delay for the WLAN channel with Retry 7 in 45 minutes of transmission.

Fig. 2.10. Lost packets for WLAN transmission with Retry 7 of 2.9.
Fig. 2.11. CDF of packet delay for WLAN transmissions.

Fig. 2.12. PMF of the size of packet error bursts for WLAN transmissions.
Fig. 2.13. CDF of packet delay for the WLAN channel with Retry 7, and for the first MAC try only.

The minimum delay measured on the WLAN path has been 0.000883s.

Full path behaviour

A transmission of a real MPEG-4 video stream has been performed over the full WLAN and satellite path. The software VLC [11] has been used as source: it generates UDP packets of a fixed size of 1344 bytes (IP header included) with a variable inter-generation time. Further 4 bytes has been added to each of that packets, for tracking purposes. The transmission has lasted 45 minutes, and 160402 packets has been generated, achieving a mean throughput of 640.7 kbps. The WLAN hop has operated in the Retry 0 mode, emulating a multicast or broadcast transmission, within a quite clear channel.

Table 2.2 shows the packet loss, the mean packet delay, its standard deviation and the smoothed mean jitter measured in each hop, and the ones resulted in the total full path.

Fig. 2.14, 2.15 and 2.16 show the trend of the packet delay, the CDF of the packet delay, and the packet jitter, for the full path streaming, respectively. Most of that are due to the satellite hop. Fig. 2.17 shows the lost packets in the full path, but they are fully due to the WLAN hop.
Fig. 2.14. Packet delay for the full path in 45 minutes of real video streaming.

Fig. 2.15. CDF of packet delay for data in Fig. 2.14.
Fig. 2.16. Packet jitter for the full path video stream.

Fig. 2.17. Lost packets for the full path video stream.
Table 2.2. Statistics of the full path transmission, relative to 45 minutes of a real video streaming.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Packet loss probability</th>
<th>Packet delay [s]</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Mean jitter [s]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WLAN (Retry 0)</td>
<td>$4 \cdot 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.000940</td>
<td>0.000231</td>
<td>0.000021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.367103</td>
<td>0.196004</td>
<td>0.010863</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full path</td>
<td>$4 \cdot 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>0.367946</td>
<td>0.196004</td>
<td>0.010864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.3 Conclusions

A WLAN cum satellite testbed has been developed, and UDP transmissions have been performed both with CBR traffic and with a real video stream. The packet loss, the packet delay and the packet jitter have been experimented.

In the end the resulting packet loss of this hybrid network topology is fully due to the losses in the WLAN hops, while most of the delay and the jitter comes from the satellite hop.

The Quality of Service of multimedia and real-time transmissions over this kind of network topology is severely tried, and the negative effects of each hop are summed in the full path.

A buffer of few seconds located at the receive application, according to the experimental results found, could assure the quality of multimedia non real-time streams. It would be better to implement in the WLAN hops a stronger loss recovery strategy, because the Retry 7 mode improve the safety of transmitted packets but it’s not suitable for multicast and broadcast transmissions. Adaptive Forward Error Correction (FEC) techniques could be used instead in the WLAN hops: they could improve the packet safety with the trade-off of a little increase of the delivery time of the packets. This topic could be investigated in future research. Nothing could be done for the minimum delay introduced by the satellite channel, and this could be the biggest problem for real-time interactive applications.

The investigation of TCP flows within the hybrid topology described is another interesting topic: the optimization of the goodput of TCP flows is a challenging problem to be investigated as well; this issue is currently argument of ongoing research.

2.2 Forward Erasure Correction and Real Video Streaming

In a heterogeneous MANET, based on wireless LANs linked together by via satellite, the overall channel efficiency is impaired by multiple effects, because of multipath fading in the terrestrial segment and atmospheric fading on the satellite link. In this paper, we address this issue by applying forward erasure
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correction codes (FZC) to MPEG-4 video sequences exchanged by the hosts of a hybrid network. The network is made of a satellite link and a wireless LAN that uses 802.11b devices. The stream is FZC encoded at the streamer and decoded at the individual receivers. Encoding and decoding operations occur just above the transport layer. This approach has the advantage of being independent of the equipment, the operating system and the end-user application. It performs as middleware between the application and the underlying operating system services, and thus allows allowing the employing use of standard streaming applications both at the sender and the receiver. This work aims at demonstrating the improvement in quality of service of the video transmitted in the hybrid network. The main parameters measured are the packet loss, the delivery delay, and the overhead in bandwidth occupancy imposed by the use of FZC. The received video is then evaluated by using a MOS (Mean Opinion Score) procedure. While the concept of using FZC has been widely studied for several years [12, 13], the literature is lacking in experimental results in a hybrid wireless environment. In the following, we first describe our test scenario and then we present measurements obtained in the described environment.

2.2.1 The Real Case Study

The forward error correction technique employed in our experiments a class of linear block codes based on Vandermonde matrices [14]. Basically, \( k \) blocks of source data are encoded to produce \( n \) blocks of encoded data, such that any subset of \( k \) encoded blocks suffices to reconstruct all source data (with \( n > k \)). Let \( x \) be the vector of source blocks and \( y \) the vector of encoded blocks. Then, the problem is to find an appropriate \( nk \) coding matrix \( C \) such that \( y = Cx \) and \( x = C^{-1}y' \), for any subset \( y' \) of \( k \) blocks in \( y \), where \( C' \) is the corresponding row-wise sub-matrix in \( C \) (a linear block code is said to be systematic if \( I \) is a row-wise sub-matrix in \( C \)). As indicated in [14], of special interest are the FZCs derived from Vandermonde coding matrices are of special interest; they employ efficient field arithmetic.

The video stream used in the experiment is coded using MPEG-4. MPEG-4 is the first standard that describes multimedia contents as a set of audio-visual objects to be presented, manipulated and transported individually. The high compression ratio and error resilience offered by MPEG-4 has driven an explosion of its popularity. The evaluation of FZC performance in MPEG-4 stream delivery on the single wireless path is not new. For example, in [15] the joint usage of FZCs and MPEG-4’s Fine Granularity Scalability (FGS) – a highly suitable technique for IEEE 802.11 –is studied, while [16] studies the behavior of FZCs when applied to MPEG-4 streaming via 3G networks. Anyway, there is a lack of experimental results in hybrid wireless environment. In order to provide such results, our target scenario is composed of a video-streaming server interconnected to an ad hoc wireless network through an OBP (On Board Processing) satellite. The satellite link is carried by the
Eutelsat HotBird6 satellite in Ka band, and uses the technology known as Skyplex Data [5, 6], which is an IP-based satellite network, derived from DVB-RCS. We used it this satellite technology in a point-to-multipoint topology, by using a single multicast receiver. The Skyplex link we used has a bandwidth of 2 Mb/s. Figure 2.18 depicts the scenario of our experiment. The video streamer transmits a FZC encoded multicast stream on the satellite; the local gateway receives the traffic from the satellite multicast receiver and broadcasts forwards it to the wireless clients. The ad-hoc wireless LAN uses the IEEE 802.11b standard [5].

![Fig. 2.18. The test-bed network topology.](image)

The mobile devices are IBM Thinkpad R40e laptops (Celeron 2.0 Ghz with 256 Mb Ram running Debian Linux with a 2.6.8 kernel), and they are equipped with CNet CNWLC-811 IEEE 802.11b wireless cards. The indoor environment is depicted in Fig. 2.19.

The dashed lines in Figure 2.19 represent the shortest radio paths between the local gateway and the client devices A and B (black stars). The rooms are at the first floor of the building of the CNR ISTI Institute in Pisa; they are delimited by thin walls.

### 2.2.2 Experimental Results

Notice that IEEE 802.11 includes the use of automatic repeat request (ARQ) [5] only in unicast mode. We implemented two software modules, an encoder
and a decoder, written in C language, by using Rizzo’s library [18]. The encoder works at the transport layer by fetching blocks of $k$ information packets from the video stream and then transmitting $k+l$ UDP packets ($k$ of information + $l$ of redundancy) towards the receiving host. At the receiving host, the decoder fetches $k$ of the $k+l$ packets per block and recovers the information, provided that no more than $l$ packets are lost in a single block of packets. The receiver then feeds the VLC 0.8.1 decoder with the received stream. VLC uses a packet size of 1316 bytes, which is a block of seven 7 MPEG-4 frames. The encoder adds a preamble of 4 bytes for the sequence number, which is then cancelled by the decoder. The overall length of the 802.11 MSDU is then equal to $8+20+8+4+1316$ bytes, keeping into account the UDP, IP, and SNAP/LLC headers.

**Packet error rate**

The MPEG stream carries an Xvid version of the Pirates of Caribbean movie, with a frame rate of 25 frames per second at 576320 pixels, and an average data stream of 939 kb/s. Our experiments show that the satellite channel is error free (thus the only problem is the intrinsic satellite delay), while the wireless channel is error prone. Thus, the error recovery aspect must be addressed in the wireless environment. By default, 802.11 devices use an internal algorithm for changing the transmission signal rate in order to adapt to varying channel conditions. Since our aim is to verify the performance of different coding schemes, we fixed the signal rate, by disabling the internal auto rate-change algorithm. We used two data bit rates: 11 and 5.5 Mb/s. Lower transmission rates were not considered because the stream throughput would have exceeded the available information rate of the wireless channel. We analyze the channel between the local gateway and the A node (see Fig. 2.19), and between the local gateway and the B node (see Fig. 2.19), respectively. In the first case, which is the worst case (due to the distance between the gateway and the
client A), the experiment in uncoded mode (no FZC) shows that packet loss can be reduced from 13% to about 6% by changing the transmission rate from 11 to 5.5 Mb/s. In this case, the channel occupancy increases by 56%. A similar redundancy is required when using an FZC coding ratio of 150/100; however, in the latter case, we measured a much better performance, with a packet loss rate of 0.8%. Better performance yet can be obtained by using an FZC with 120/100 coding ratio, which increases channel occupancy by only 20%.

When the B node is considered, the experiment in uncoded case (no FZC) shows that packet loss can be reduced from 5.9% to about 0.4% by changing the transmission rate from 11 to 5.5 Mb/s. However, if we use an FZC coding ratio of 110/100, we measured a much better performance (only 0.3% of packet loss) by increasing the channel occupancy by only 10%. Choosing a coding ratio of 120/100, all packet losses (about 6%) can be recovered by increasing the channel occupancy by 20%.

All measurements are summarized in Figures 2.20 and 2.21, where the residual bandwidth is defined as the bandwidth left available on the channel for use by other communications performed at 11 Mb/s. Notice the case of 5.5 Mb/s where, while no FZC redundancy is introduced, the occupied channel

![Redundancy and error correction performance vs. coding ratio for client A.](image)
share is 56% wider than the corresponding 11 Mb/s case, and the residual bandwidth is reduced accordingly.

Generally speaking, there is a tradeoff between redundancy and error correction performance; these results show that the usage of FZC is convenient with respect to changing the signal rate.

![Graph showing redundancy and error correction performance vs. coding ratio for the client B.](image)

**Fig. 2.21.** Redundancy and error correction performance vs. coding ratio for the client B.

**Packet delivery delay**

A streaming application delivers time-based information, i.e. user data that has an intrinsic time component. By using erasure codes we introduce the packet delivery delay. In fact, when \( h \) packets in a block are lost, we must wait for all \( k \) packets of information plus \( h \) of redundancy. Delivery delay is an important parameter that must be evaluated like the packet loss. Our experiments show that the mean delivery delay for the node A is about 50 ms, and it is less than the delivery delay for the node B (48 ms). This is due to the fact that node A has a greater number of packet losses than node B. The maximum packet delivery delay is evaluated as the time necessary to recovery all the information when a number of packet equal to the redundancy packets is lost. These experiments show that the limits imposed by ITU-T Recommendation G.114 [18] are preserved. Tables 2.3 and 2.4 show the packet delivery delay for clients A and B, respectively.
Table 2.3. Maximum, mean and variance of delivery delay for client A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding ratio</th>
<th>Max [ms]</th>
<th>Mean [ms]</th>
<th>Var. [ms]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110/100</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>52.149</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120/100</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>54.99</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130/100</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>52.637</td>
<td>0.805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/100</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>53.805</td>
<td>1.675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4. Maximum, mean and variance of delivery delay for client B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding ratio</th>
<th>Max [ms]</th>
<th>Mean [ms]</th>
<th>Var. [ms]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110/100</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>48.522</td>
<td>0.796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120/100</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>48.639</td>
<td>0.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130/100</td>
<td>124.8</td>
<td>47.992</td>
<td>0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/100</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>53.585</td>
<td>1.681</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean opinion Score (MOS)

The MOS is the most widely known video quality metric. MOS is a subjective score, ranging from 5 (Excellent) down to 0 (Unacceptable). Thirty persons have answered to three quality questions (overall, video, and audio quality) for each coding ratio of the video received by client A; mean opinion scores have been calculated. The results for each quality question are shown in Figure 2.22. It can be seen that the coding ratio is important for opinions on the quality; this is true for all three questions. Not surprisingly, the best video quality is obtained by using the 200/100 coding ratio. When the coding ratio decreases from 200/100 to the uncoded case, the quality ratings become progressively poorer.

Table 2.5. Acceptability of received video by client A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding ratio</th>
<th>Uncoded</th>
<th>110/100</th>
<th>120/100</th>
<th>130/100</th>
<th>150/100</th>
<th>200/100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11M</td>
<td>5.5M</td>
<td>11M</td>
<td>11M</td>
<td>11M</td>
<td>11M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>26.9%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Acceptability opinions for each test were based on a binary choice: acceptable or not acceptable. Figure 2.23 display the percentage of people who report 'acceptable' options for each coding ratio. Table 2.5 clearly shows that the video quality is acceptable when using a coding ratio greater than 130/100. Video applications are sensitive to packet losses and, even if a few packets are lost, the video streaming performance can be considered unacceptable: with 3.3% of packet loss (coding ratio of 120/100), only 30% of subjects found the performance acceptable. Some samples of the proposed video for the MOS are depicted in Fig. 2.23.
2.2 Forward Erasure Correction and Real Video Streaming

Fig. 2.23. Samples of the streamed video trailer at different coding and bit rates.
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The multipath fading channel

3.1 The environment

In wireless mobile communications, the electromagnetic waves often do not directly reach the receiver due to obstacles that block the line of sight path. A signal travels from transmitter to receiver over a multiple-reflection path; this phenomenon is called multipath propagation and causes fluctuations in the receiver signal's amplitude and phase. The sum of the signals can be constructive or destructive. A typical scenario of mobile radio communications is shown in Fig. 3.1, where the three main mechanisms that impact the signal propagation are depicted.

These well-known mechanisms are reflection, diffraction, and scattering [2], which constitute the main reasons for signal attenuation (fading). The type of fading experienced by a signal propagating through a mobile radio channel depends on the nature of the transmitted signal, as well as the characteristics of the channel. Different transmitted signals undergo different types of fading, according to the relationship among the signal parameters (such as path loss, bandwidth, symbol period, etc.), and the channel parameters (such as RMS delay spread and Doppler spread).

Reflection. When a radio wave bounces against a smooth surface, whose dimension is large compared with the signal wavelength, the radio wave is partially reflected, partially absorbed, and partially transmitted. While, in fact, the reflected wave is the result of multiple reflections against the wall, the reflection is usually represented as a single reflection wave. When a wave that travels in a first medium impacts with a second medium that is a perfect dielectric, part of the energy is transmitted into the second medium and part comes back to the first medium, without any energy absorption loss. If the second medium is a perfect conductor, then all incident energy is reflected back into the first medium, without any energy loss. The electric field intensity of the reflected and transmitted waves is derived from the incident wave by means of a reflection coefficient ($\Gamma$). The reflection coefficient is a function of the material’s properties, the wave’s polarization, the angle of incidence,
and the wave’s frequency. **Diffraction.** When a building whose dimensions are larger than the signal wavelength obstructs a path between transmitter and receiver, new secondary waves are generated. This phenomenon is often called **shadowing,** because the diffracted field can reach the receiver even when shadowed by an impenetrable obstruction (no line of sight). Diffraction describes the modifications of propagating waves when obstructed. This phenomenon allows radio waves to propagate around the bending of the earth and behind obstructions. Most of the radio-wave energy is within the so called “First Fresnel Zone”, i.e., the inner 60% of the Fresnel zone. The Fresnel zone for a radio beam is an elliptical area with foci located in the sender and the receiver. Objects in the Fresnel zone cause diffraction and hence reduce the signal energy. Hence, if the inner part contacts the ground (or other objects) the energy loss is significant. The **Fresnel zones** represent successive regions where the path length difference of the secondary waves with respect to the LOS path is a multiple of \( \lambda/2 \), being \( \lambda \) the wavelength. The Fresnel zones explain the concept of diffraction-loss as a function of the path difference around an obstruction. Estimating the signal attenuation caused by diffraction of radio waves over buildings is essential in predicting the field strength that arrives.
at the receiver. When a single object, as a hill or a building, causes shadowing, the attenuation due to diffraction can be seen as the attenuation caused by the Knife-edge [2]. In other words, the obstruction can be treated as a knife-edge. The Knife-edge diffraction model is well described in [3]. Scattering. It happens when a radio wave bumps against a rough surface whose dimensions are equal to or smaller than the signal wavelength. In the urban area, typical obstacles that cause scattering are lampposts, street signs, and foliage. In mobile radio environment the signal level is often unlike what is predicted by reflection and diffraction models. This happens because the signal bumps against a rough surface, and the reflected energy spreads in all directions due to scattering. Objects, such as lamppost or trees, tend to scatter energy in all directions. In a radio channel, the knowledge of the location of the object that causes scattering can be used to predict the scattered signal strengths. A good approximation for scattering is given by the radar cross section (RCS) model. The RCS is defined as the ratio between the power density of the signal, scattered in the direction of the receiver, and the power density of the radio wave incident upon the scattering object, expressed in square meters. For rural and macro cellular areas, some of the models for scattering that have shown the best performance are those that use bistatic radar techniques [4][5], which can be used to calculate the received power due to scattering. Another negative influence on the characteristics of the radio channels is the Doppler effect due to the motion of the mobile station. The Doppler effect causes a frequency shift of each of the partial waves. The Doppler frequency of the incident wave is given by the relation

\[ f = f_{\text{max}} \cos \alpha \]  

(3.1)

where

\[ f_{\text{max}} = \frac{v}{c_0} f_0 \]  

(3.2)

is the maximum Doppler frequency or shift, which depends on the speed of the vehicle \( (v) \), the speed of the light \( (c_0) \), and the carried frequency \( (f_0) \).

\( \alpha \) is the angle of arrival of the incident wave (Fig. 3.2).

---

Fig. 3.2. Angle of arrival of the \( n^{th} \) incident wave
3.2 Fading types

Reflection, diffraction, and scattering have a great impact on the signal power, and they constitute the main reasons for signal attenuation (fading). The interaction between the waves derived by reflection, diffraction and scattering cause multipath fading at a specific location. Fading can be categorized into two main types: large-scale fading and small-scale fading. Large-scale fading is due to motion in a large area, and can be characterized by the distance between the mobile units. Small-scale fading is due to small changes in position (as small as the half-wavelength) or to changes in the environment (surrounding objects, people crossing the line of sight between transmitter and receiver, opening/closing of doors, etc.). Figure 3.3 is an overview of the fading types. Its explanation will constitute the core of next section.

In order to understand the main differences between large-scale and small-scale fading, let us consider a received signal \( s(t) \) that is the convolution between the transmitted signal \( r(t) \) and the impulse response of the channel \( h_c(t) \):

\[
r(t) = s(t) \otimes h_c(t)
\]

(3.3)

The received signal can be seen as the product of two random variables [1]

\[
r(t) = m(t) \cdot r_0(t)
\]

(3.4)

where \( m(t) \) is called large-scale fading component, and \( r_0(t) \) is the small-scale fading component.

\( m(t) \) is the local mean of the received signal, usually characterised by a log-normal probability density function, which means that the magnitude measured in decibel has a Gaussian probability density function. \( r_0(t) \) is sometime referred to as a multipath or Rayleigh fading, because it follows a Rayleigh distribution. Figure 3.4 highlights these two effects.

3.3 Large-scale fading

Large-scale fading propagation models are used to predict the mean signal strength for an arbitrary transmitter-receiver (T-R) separation distance (large-scale models).

The free space propagation model

This is an ideal propagation model used to compute the received signal strength when there is a direct line of sight between a transmitter and a receiver unit, at distance \( d \) between them. The power received in free space is given by the Friis transmission equation 3.5, were \( P_t \) is the transmitted power, \( G_t \) is the transmitter antenna’s gain, \( G_r \) is the receiver antenna’s gain,
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Fig. 3.3. Channel fading types
L is the system loss factor (for example filter losses, antenna losses etc...) and \((\frac{4\pi d}{\lambda})^2\) is called path loss or free space loss \((L_{fs})\).

\[ P_r(d) = \frac{P_t G_t G_r \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2 d^2 L} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

The antenna gain is given by

\[ G = \frac{4\pi A_e}{\lambda^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

where \(A_e\) is the effective size of the antenna. Formula 3.5, expressed in dB is:

\[ L_{fs}\big|_{dBm} = 10\log \left( \frac{P_t G_t G_r}{P_r L} \right) = 20\log \left( \frac{4\pi d}{\lambda} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

From now on we assume \(L = 1\). The path loss is defined as the difference between the effective transmitted power and the received power, which includes the effects of the antenna gains.

**The Log-normal shadowing model**

Theoretical and measurement-based models indicate that the average received signal power decreases with the distance raised to some exponent. In the free-space model, the exponent is 2, that is, the received power decreases as the
square of distance. In the log-normal path loss propagation model, the average path loss for an arbitrary T-R couple is expressed as a function of the distance \( d \) by using a path loss exponent, independently of the presence of a direct line of sight between the transmitter and the receiver units.

\[
L_p(d) \propto \left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right)^n
\]  

where \( n \) is the path loss exponent that indicates the rate at which the path loss increases with the distance, and \( d_0 \) called free space close-in reference distance [2]. It is important to select a value of \( d_0 \) that is appropriate for the propagation environment. In large cellular systems, 1 Km and 1 mile reference distances are commonly used, whereas in microcellular systems much smaller distances are used. The reference distance should always be in the far field of the antenna (\( d_0 > 2D^2/\lambda \), where \( D \) is the largest antenna dimension), so that near-field effects are not considered in the reference path loss. The value of \( n \) depends on the specific propagation environment. Table 3.1 shows the values of the path loss exponent \( n \) for different environments [2].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Path Loss Exponent, ( n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free space</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban area cellular radio</td>
<td>2.7 to 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadowed urban cellular radio</td>
<td>3 to 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In building, line of sight</td>
<td>1.6 to 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructed in building</td>
<td>1.6 to 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstructed in factories</td>
<td>1.6 to 1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The path loss expressed in dB is:

\[
L_p(d) \bigg|_{dB} = L_{fs}(d_0) \bigg|_{dB} + 10n\log\left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right)
\]  

(3.9)

In 3.9 the first part is the path loss at reference distance \( d_0 \), and the second part is due to the particular environment where the measure occurs. Measurements have shown that the path loss \( L_p(d) \) is a random variable which has a log-normal distribution around a mean value \( L_p(d) \) [6]. The path loss \( L_p(d) \) (in dB) can be expressed in terms of the mean \( L_{fs}(d_0) \) plus a random variable \( X_\sigma \), which has a zero-mean and a Gaussian distribution [2].

\[
L_p(d) \bigg|_{dB} = L_{fs}(d_0) \bigg|_{dB} + 10n\log\left( \frac{d}{d_0} \right) + X_\sigma \bigg|_{dB}
\]  

(3.10)

Formula 3.10 is a log-normal shadowing and describes the random shadowing effect which occurs in a large number of measurements of the received
power in a large-scale model at the same distance \( d \) between transmitter and receiver but with different propagation paths. Figure 3.5 shows typical path losses measured in German cities [7].

![Path loss vs. distance](image)

**Fig. 3.5.** Path loss vs. distance measured in several German cities [7]

### 3.4 Small-scale fading

The small scale fading is used to describe short-term, rapid amplitude fluctuations of the received signal during a short period of time. This fading is caused by interference between two or more multipath components that arrive at the receiver while the mobile travels a short distance (a few wavelengths) or over a short period of time. These waves combine vectorially at the receiver, and the resulting signal can rapidly vary in amplitude and phase.

Different channel conditions can produce different types of small-scale fading. The type of fading experienced by the mobile depends on the following factors:

**Multipath propagation**

The presence in the channel of a reflective surface and object that cause scattering creates a variation in amplitude, phase, and time delay. The random phase and amplitude of the different multipath components cause fluctuation in the signal strength.

**Speed of the mobile**
The relative motion between the transmitter and the receiver causes a random frequency modulation, because of the effect of different Doppler shifts on each of the multipath components.

**Speed of surrounding objects**

The surrounding environment is not important in a wireless channel only because it changes the multipath components, but also because of varying Doppler shifts for all multipath components.

**Bandwidth of the signal**

If the transmitted signal bandwidth is greater than the flat-fading bandwidth of the multipath channel, the signal at the receiver antenna is distorted.

There are two different causes of small-scale fading:

- The time spreading of the signal
- The time-variant behaviour of the channel due to motion of the mobile unit

These two aspects are analyzed respectively in sections A and B. Section C draws the conclusions.

**Small-scale fading effects due to multipath Time Delay Spread**

Time dispersion due to multipath causes the transmitted signal to undergo either flat or frequency selective fading. These two types of fading are analyzed in subsections A.1 and A.2 respectively.

**Time delay spread: Flat Fading**

Small-scale fading is defined as being flat or non-selective if the received multipath components of a symbol don’t extend beyond the symbol’s time duration. In other words, a channel is said to be subject to flat fading when all the received multipath components of a symbol arrive within the symbol’s time duration. In a flat-fading channel ISI (inter-symbol interference) is absent; therefore such a radio channel has a constant gain and a linear phase response over a bandwidth which is greater than the bandwidth of the transmitted signal (3.6).

In a flat-fading channel the spectral characteristics of the transmitted signal are preserved at the receiver, and the channel does not cause any distortion due to the time dispersion. However, the strength of the received signal changes with time due to slow gain fluctuations caused by multipath. Flat-fading channels are also known as amplitude varying channels and are sometimes referred to as narrowband channels, as the bandwidth of the applied signal is narrow with respect to the channel bandwidth. In a flat-fading channel, the following hold true:

$$B_s \ll B_c \text{ or } T_s \gg \sigma_T$$

(3.11)
where $B_s$ is the bandwidth of the transmitted signal, $B_c$ is the coherence bandwidth of the channel, $T_s$ is the symbol’s period, and $\sigma_\tau$ is the rms delay spread of the channel. These parameters are described in the section at the end of the chapter. Figure 3.7 shows how the gain varies for the received signal, but its spectrum is preserved. In the flat-fading channel, the symbol’s duration time is much larger than the multipath time delay spread of the channel.
Time delay spread: Frequency-Selective Fading

If, opposite to the flat fading case illustrated in the previous subsection, the channel has a constant gain and a linear phase response over a bandwidth that is much smaller than the bandwidth of the transmitted signal, this channel causes frequency selective fading on the received signal. Under these conditions the channel impulse response has a delay spread which is greater than the symbol period. When this occurs, the received signal includes multiple versions of the same symbol, each attenuated (faded) and delayed. As a consequence, the received signal is distorted, that is, the channel produces ISI (inter-symbol interference). In the frequency domain, this means that certain frequency components in the received signal spectrum have higher gain than others (Fig. 3.8). For frequency selective fading, the spectrum of the received signal has a bandwidth that is greater than the coherence bandwidth $B_c$; in other words, the channel becomes frequency selective when the gain is different for different frequency components of the signal.

![Figure 3.8](image)

**Fig. 3.8.** Frequency selective fading case: $B_s$ is the signal bandwidth, and $B_c$ is the coherence bandwidth

To summarize, a signal undergoes frequency selective fading if

$$B_s > B_c \text{ or } T_s < \sigma$$

(3.12)

Figure 3.9 illustrates the characteristics of frequency selective fading channel. The spectrum $S(f)$ of the transmitted signal has a bandwidth greater than the coherence bandwidth $B_C$ of the channel; in the time domain, the transmitted symbol is much smaller than the multipath time delay spread, which causes time dispersion.
Small-scale fading effects due to Doppler Spread

While the multipath effects described in the previous section, depend on the static geometric character of the environment surrounding the transmitter and the receiver, the Doppler spread is caused by movements in the environment. In a fast fading channel, the channel impulse response changes rapidly within the symbol duration. If the coherence time (see section at the end of this chapter) is shorter than the symbol of the transmitted signal, then the signal undergoes fast fading. In the frequency domain, signal distortion due to fast fading increases with increasing Doppler spread relative to the bandwidth of the transmitted signal. Therefore, the signal undergoes fast fading if

\[ T_s > T_c \text{ or } B_s < B_D \]  

(3.13)

where \( T_c \) and \( B_D \) are the coherence time and the Doppler bandwidth (the width of the Doppler power spectrum), respectively (see section at the end of this chapter). Note that in the case of a frequency-selective, fast fading channel, the amplitude, the phase and the time delay of each of the multipath components are different for each component.

In a slow fading channel, the channel impulse response changes at a rate much slower than the transmitted signal. In this case, the channel can be assumed static over several symbol intervals. In the frequency domain the Doppler spread is much less than the bandwidth of the signal. To summarize, a signal undergoes slow fading if
3.5 Conclusion

In the small-scale fading two different dimensions are distinguished: multipath time delay spread and Doppler spread, as depicted in Figure 3.10 (where BW stands for “bandwidth”). Fading based on multipath time delay spread can be flat fading or frequency selective fading. Flat fading is present if the bandwidth of the signal is smaller than the bandwidth $B_C$ of the channel, or equivalently if the delay spread is smaller than the symbol period. Frequency selective fading is present otherwise. Fading based on Doppler spread can be fast fading or slow fading. Fast fading is present if the coherence time $T_C$ is smaller than the symbol period, or equivalently if the channel variation is faster than the baseband signal variation. Slow fading is present otherwise.

$$T_s \ll T_c \text{ or } B_s \gg B_D$$

(3.14)

A classic example of fast fading channel was the Morse code signaling used in the HF frequency band, which exhibited a very low data rate.

Fig. 3.10. Type of small fading
The relationship between the various multipath parameters and the type of fading experienced by the signal are summarized in Figure 3.11, where four regions are distinguished.

It is important not to confuse the terms fast and slow fading with the terms large-scale and small-scale fading. It should be emphasized that fast and slow fading deal with the relationship between the time rate of change in the channel and the transmitted signal, and not with propagation path loss models (large-scale or small-scale fading). The IEEE802.11 standard specifies the physical layer that must be used in Wireless LAN in 2.4 GHz Industrial-Scientific-Medical band, and then fixes the symbol period ($T_s=100$ ns). In Table 3.2, different types of rms delay spread for indoor radio channels are distinguished (in the order of nanoseconds). Therefore with these values of
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\( rms \ \text{delay spread} \) and symbol period, the channel is flat because the delay spread is greater than the symbol period \((T_s > \sigma_T)\). Since the value of the coherence time is estimated calculating the maximum Doppler frequency, we must choose the velocity of the mobile nodes, for example 100 Km/h and 2 m/s. With these velocities the maximum shift frequency is given by

\[
f_{max} = \frac{v}{c_0} f_0 = \begin{cases} 224Hz & v = 100\text{Km/h} \\ 16Hz & v = 2\text{m/s} \end{cases}
\]

the coherence time, that are inversely proportional to the Doppler spread is given by

\[
T_C \approx \frac{1}{f_{max}} = \begin{cases} 4.46\text{ms} & v = 100\text{Km/h} \\ 62.5\text{ms} & v = 2\text{m/s} \end{cases}
\]

Since the coherence time is greater than the symbol period \((T_s < T_C)\), the channel would manifest slow fading effects. Analogous reasons can be made for the IEEE802.11g standard where the symbol's period \(T_s\) is 4ms, in this case the channel would manifest flat and slow fading effect.

---

**Fig. 3.12.** Types of small-scale fading for the IEEE802.11 signal

Figure 3.12 shows the above description, as we can see, for an high bandwidth relative to coherence bandwidth the transmitted signal undergoes frequency selective, while for low bit rate relative to coherence time the signal undergoes fast fading.

3.6 Parameters of the mobile multipath channel

3.6.1 Time dispersion

The *mean excess delay*, *rms delay spread*, and the *maximum excess delay spread* \((X \text{ dB})\) are parameters that can be calculated from the power profile.
The mean excess delay is the first moment of the power delay profile, and is defined as

$$\tau = \frac{\sum a_k^2 \tau_k}{\sum a_k^2} = \frac{\sum_k P(\tau_k) \tau_k}{\sum_k P(\tau_k)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.15)$$

where $a_k$ is the amplitude of the $k$th-component which arrives at the receiver, and $P(\tau_k)$ is the power of the $k$th-component. The rms delay spread is the square root of the second moment of the power delay profile, and is defined as

$$\sigma_\tau = \sqrt{\tau^2 - (\tau)^2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.16)$$

where

$$\tau^2 = \frac{\sum a_k^2 \tau_k^2}{\sum a_k^2} = \frac{\sum_k P(\tau_k) \tau_k^2}{\sum_k P(\tau_k)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (3.17)$$

Typical values of rms delay spread are shown in Table 3.2, which shows different values for indoor radio channels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean delay spread [ns]</th>
<th>Maximum delay spread [ns]</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>Large building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>[9]</td>
<td>Office building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>[10]</td>
<td>Office building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>[11]</td>
<td>Shopping center Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>Office building: simple room only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cantine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shopping center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>Office building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>Office building: single room only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum excess delay is defined as the time delay during which the multipath energy falls to X dB below the maximum (Fig. 3.13).

It is important to underline that the values $\tau, \tau^2$ and $\sigma_\tau$ depend on the choice of noise threshold. The noise threshold is the threshold used to differentiate between the noise and the received multipath components. If the noise threshold is set too low, the noise is seen like a multipath component, and $\tau, \tau^2$ and $\sigma_\tau$ increase.
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3.6.2 Coherence bandwidth

Analogous to the delay spread parameters in the time domain, the coherence bandwidth is used to characterize the channel in the frequency domain. The coherence bandwidth is a statistical measure of the frequency range where the channel can be considered “flat”. In this frequency range two components pass with highly correlated gain and linear phase. Two sinusoids with frequency separation greater than $B_C$ are affected quite differently by the channel. If the coherence bandwidth is defined as the frequency interval over which the autocorrelation of the channel’s complex frequency transfer function is above 0.9, then the coherence bandwidth is approximately [16]

$$B_C \approx \frac{1}{50\sigma_\tau}$$

(3.18)

Most commonly, the coherence bandwidth is defined as the bandwidth over which the correlation function is at least 0.5; in this case we have [2]

$$B_C \approx \frac{1}{5\sigma_\tau}$$

(3.19)

An exact relationship between coherence bandwidth and rms delay spread does not exist. A simple exercise for clarification about flat and selective fading is derived in the last subsection of this section.
3.6.3 Doppler spread and coherence time

While the delay spread and coherence bandwidth are parameters which describe the time dispersion nature in the channel due to its geometric, static characteristics, the Doppler spread and coherence time parameters describe the time varying nature of the channel in the small-scale region, caused by the relative movements between transmitter and receiver. To understand how Doppler spread can cause frequency dispersion at the receiver, we see how a channel behaves when a pure sinusoidal at frequency $f_C$ is transmitted. The received signal has spectral components in the range $f_C + f_D$ to $f_C - f_D$, where $f_D$ is the Doppler shift. The coherence time $T_C$ is the time domain dual of the Doppler spread; it is a measure of the time during which the channel can be assumed non to vary.

In the Clark model, Doppler spread and coherence time are defined as being inversely proportional each other:

$$T_C = \frac{1}{f_{\text{max}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

where $f_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum Doppler shift (equation 2). Using a different model, in [17] the coherence time is defined as the time over which the autocorrelation of the transfer function is above 0.5; then the coherence time is approximately defined as

$$T_C \approx \frac{9}{16\pi f_{\text{max}}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)
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Propagation models

4.1 Outdoor path-loss models

Path-loss is a measure of the average attenuation suffered by a transmitted signal when it arrives at a receiver, after traversing a path of several wavelengths. Model for characterizing the path-loss can be classified in two main groups: statistical models, based on the characterization of the received signal, and deterministic models, based on physical parameters that describe the environment (terrain profile, position of buildings, used construction materials, and so on). The former models are easier to implement but also less sensitive to the geometry of the environment. The latter models are more complex, require more computational effort, but they are much more accurate. Other models exist, derived from measurement campaign for different situations. When propagation is considered in an outdoor environment, three main areas are taken into account: urban, suburban, and rural areas. The terrain profile, the presence of trees, buildings, moving cars, wind, and other possible obstacles have a great impact on the model characteristics. The outdoor mobile radio channel differ from the indoor radio channel mainly due to the distance covered by the signal, which is smaller in an indoor environment, and to the variability of the environment, which is much greater in an outdoor environment. Moreover, the variation in the fading rate and the type of interference have a strong impact in the characterizing the difference between the two types of signals. The delay spread in the indoor radio channel is typically smaller than the one in the outdoor channel; moreover, movements inside a building are often smaller than movements of vehicles in an urban area. Therefore, the change in channel characteristics is slower in time. The interference types are different because the propagation within the buildings is strongly influenced by local features, such as construction materials and type of building. The field of indoor radio propagation is relatively new, with the first wave of research occurring in the early 1980. Cox [1] at AT&T Bell Laboratories and Alexander [2] at British Telecom were the first to carefully study indoor path-loss in and around a large number of houses and office
buildings. From then on work has been done on indoor propagation and on
the impact of the building structures [3][4][5][6]. In general, indoor channels
may be classified either as LOS or obstructed, with varying degrees of clutter
[7]. Some of the recently emerged indoor path-loss models are the Log-distance
path loss model and the attenuation factor model, described by Seidel in [6].

4.1.1 Most popular statistical outdoor path-loss models

When the radio communications take place over irregular terrain, the terrain
profile, with the presence of trees, buildings and other obstacles, changes the
estimation of the path loss. Many models exist that are adequate to predict
the path loss, and the following methods widely vary in their approach and
accuracy.

The Longley-Rice model [8][9] is applicable to point-to-point communica-
tion systems in the frequency range from 40 MHz to 100 GHz, over different
kinds of terrain. This model is particularly useful in predicting propagation
losses over irregular terrain for which knife-edge diffraction losses are sig-
nificant. The Longley-Rice model is also available as a computer program
[10] of the Institute for Telecommunication Sciences is a statistical / semi
empirical model of tropospheric radio propagation for low and high-altitude
scenarios, respectively, in the frequency range of 0.02-20 GHz. This program
is restricted to frequencies above 20 MHz because “sky”and “ground”wave
propagation paths, which can be dominant propagation paths at frequencies
less than 20 MHz, are not included in this program. This program is restricted
to frequencies less than 20 GHz because the empirical database does not in-
clude absorption and refractivity of the atmosphere or ground at wavelengths
shorter than 1 cm.

This program uses an empirical database to statistically weigh knife-edge
diffraction losses with losses from multipath interference and smooth-spherical
earth diffraction.

The program may be used either with terrain profiles that are represen-
tative of median terrain characteristics for a given area (the area- prediction
mode) or with detailed terrain profiles for actual paths (the point-to-point
mode).

There have been many modifications and corrections to the Longley-Rice
model since its original publications. One important modification deals with
radio propagation in urban areas, and this is particularly relevant to mobile
radio. This modification introduces an excess term as an allowance for the
additional attenuation due to urban clutter near the receiving antenna. This
extra term, called the urban factor (UF), has been derived by comparing
the predictions in the original Longley-Rice model with those obtained by
Okumura.

One shortcoming of the Longley-Rice model is that it does not provide a
way of determining corrections due to environmental factors in the immediate
vicinity of the mobile receiver, or to consider correction factors in order to account for the effects of buildings and foliage.

In 1965 Okumura carried out extensive measurements around Tokyo in the frequency range from 150 MHz to 2 GHz and published the results of his measurements as curves [11], given the median attenuation relative to the free space $L_F$, in an urban area, with a base station height $h_t$ of 200 meters and a mobile antenna height $h_r$ of 3 meters. These curves were plotted as a function of the frequency and the distance from the base station. The Okumura’s model is used for finding out path loss for distances from 1 to 100 Km. The model is described by:

$$L = L_F + A_{mu}(f, d) - G(h_t) - G(h_r) - G_{AREA}$$

(4.1)

where $L$ is the average propagation loss in dB, $A_{mu}(f, d)$ is the median attenuation relative to free space, $L_F$ the free space propagation loss, $G(h_t)$ is the base station antenna height gain factor, $G(h_r)$ is the mobile antenna gain factor, and $G_{AREA}$ is the gain due to the type of environment. Okumura’s model is entirely based on measured data, and does not provide any analytical explanation.

The Hata model (1980) is an empirical formulation of the curves provided by Okumura, approximated through analytical formulations [12]. Hata presents the urban area path loss and provides a correction factor to the formula for applying it to other situations. The formula for the median path loss in urban areas is given by

$$L(urban) = 69.55 + 26.16 \log f_C - 13.82 \log h_t - a(h_r) + ...$$

$$... + (44.9 + 6.55 \log h_t) \log d$$

(4.2)

where the path loss is expressed in dB, $f_C$ is the frequency in the range 150-15000 MHz, $h_t$ is the effective transmitter height ranging from 30 to 200 meters, $h_r$ is the effective receiver antenna height ranging from 1 to 10 meters, $d$ is the distance between transmitter and receiver in Km, and $a(h_r)$ is the correction factor for the effective receiver antenna height, which depends on the environment. For a small or medium city, the correction factor is given by

$$a(h_r) = (1.1 \log f_C - 0.7) * h_r - (1.56 \log f_C - 0.8)$$

(4.3)

while, for a large city, it is given by

$$a(h_r) = \begin{cases} 8.29(\log 1.54h_r)^2 - 1.1 & \text{for } f_C \leq 200\text{MHz} \\ 3.2(\log 11.75h_r)^2 - 4.97 & \text{for } f_C \geq 400\text{MHz} \end{cases}$$

The European CO-operation for Scientific and Technical research (EURO-COST) formed the COST-231 working committee to develop an extended version of the Hata model. COST-231 proposed the following formula to extend Hata’s model to 2 GHz [13].
where $a(h_r)$ is defined in equations 4.3 and 4.4, and

$$C_M = \begin{cases} 
0 \text{ dB} \\
3 \text{ dB}
\end{cases}$$

where the value of 0 is for medium-sized city and suburban areas, and 3 for metropolitan centers. The COST-231 extension of the Hata model is restricted to the following ranges of parameters:

- $f$: 1500MHz to 2000MHz
- $h_t$: 30m to 200m
- $h_r$: 1m to 10m
- $d$: 1Km to 20Km

The Walfisch and Bertoni model [14] and [15] considers the impact of rooftops and building heights by using diffraction in order to predict average signal strengths at street level. The model considers the path loss, $S$, to be a product of three factors:

$$S = L_0 L_{rts} L_{ms} \quad (4.4)$$

where $L_0$ represents the free space path loss between isotropic antennas, at a distance $R$:

$$L_0 = \left(\frac{4\pi R}{\lambda}\right)^2 \quad (4.5)$$

The path loss, expressed in dB, is given by

$$S_{dB} = L_0 + L_{rts} + L_{ms} \quad (4.6)$$

where $L_{rts}$ represents the rooftop to street diffraction and scatter loss, and $L_{ms}$ indicates the multi-screen diffraction loss due to rows of buildings [1].

This model was considered for ITU-R (International Telecommunication Union – Radio communications) use in the IMT-2000 (International Mobile Telecommunications-2000) standards activities [17, 18]. studies found that path loss characteristics in LOS (line of sight) environment are dominated by interference between the direct path and the ground-reflected path [2], as in the two-ray model, in the following referred to as $2RM$ (see Fig. 4.1) [2, 20]. 2RM assumes that only two paths exist between the transmitter and the receiver: the LOS, and a ground reflected propagation path. The model is based on geometric optics, and it is commonly used to predict the large-scale signal strength for mobile radio channels [30]. This model is valid under the condition that $d \gg \sqrt{h_t h_r}$ [32]; the meaning of $h_t$ and $h_r$ it explained in Fig. 4.1, which describes the model.

The $2RM$ is described by equation:
4.1 Outdoor path-loss models
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Fig. 4.1. 2-ray ground reflection model.

\[ \left( \frac{(\lambda)^2 G_t G_r}{(4\pi)^2 L} \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{\Gamma e^{j\theta_\Delta}}{d_2} \right]^2 \right) \]

\[ L_d \right|_{dB} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{(\lambda)^2 G_t G_r}{(4\pi)^2 L} \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{d_1} + \frac{\Gamma e^{j\theta_\Delta}}{d_2} \right]^2 \right), \quad (4.7) \]

where \( d_1 = \sqrt{(h_t - h_r)^2 + d^2}, \)

\[ d_2 = \sqrt{(h_t + h_r)^2 + d^2}. \]
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Typical values for the ground relative permittivity \( \epsilon_r \) are 4, 15, 25, while polarisation of the radio wave may change significantly due to reflection or scattering processes. \( G_t, G_r \) are the antenna gains of the transmitter and the receiver respectively. \( L \) is the system loss. \( \theta_\Delta \) is the phase difference due to the difference of the direct and reflected path lengths, and \( d \) is the distance between transmitter and receiver. This model has been used for LOS propagation both in rural and in urban environments. In the authors presented measurements in an urban area of Dresden, Germany and found that in LOS environment, the guiding effect reduces with increasing distance due to scattering from vehicular traffic, building and street irregularities which are expected to be significant attenuation mechanisms, particularly for rays which are reflected from walls. Hence, the attenuation effect due to obstacles increasingly compensates the guiding effect with large distance. In the authors showed the results of a radio propagation study carried out in central Tokyo, Japan and provided clear evidence that the radio propagation follows the characteristics predicted by a theoretical two path model. This
model is characterised by a break point that separates the different properties of propagation in near and far regions relative to the transmitter; before the break point, the mean attenuation is close to the free-space path loss $1/d^2$, while after that point it decreases as $1/d^4$.

A good approximation of this behaviour is the double regression model suggested by [22]. The authors propose a model with two slopes for approximating the 2RM. In particular, they described the existence of a transition region where the break point $b$ can be placed:

$$\frac{\pi h_t h_r}{\lambda} < b < \frac{4\pi h_t h_r}{\lambda},$$

(4.8)

where $h_t$ is the transmitter antenna height, $h_r$ is the receiver antenna height, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the radio signal. The two-ray CMU Monarch model used in ns-2 [4] adopts the double regression model, with the break point set to $4\pi h_t h_r/\lambda$.

For the wideband model, Feuerstein used a 20 MHz-pulsed transmitter at 1900 MHz to measure the path loss, outage, and delay spread in typical microcellular system. Using base station antenna heights ($h_t$) of 3.7 m, 8.5 m, and 13.3 m, and a mobile receiver with antenna height ($h_r$) of 1.7 m above ground, statistics for path loss, multipath, and coverage area were inferred from extensive measurements in LOS and obstructed (OBS) environments [30]. This work revealed that a 2-Ray ground reflection model is a good estimate for path loss in LOS, and a simple log-distance path loss model holds well for OBS environments.

Table 4.1 summarizes the characteristics of the considered outdoor propagation models. In [31] a comparison is made among various models of path loss.

### 4.1.2 Most popular outdoor path-loss deterministic models

Deterministic models for path-loss are based on the theory of electromagnetic wave propagation, and on a collection of many details relevant the environment. An overview of these methods is not in the scope of this paper; we just list some of the most popular/recent ones.

- Ray-Tracing, which is a technique based on geometrical optics that can easily used as an approximate method for estimating levels of high frequency electromagnetic fields [33, 34]. Two types of Ray-Tracing methods are used: the image method, and the Brute-Force Ray Tracing method.
- FDTD (Finite Difference Time Domain) method [35, 36]
- moment-method model [37]
- artificial neural-network model [38, 39]
- vector parabolic-equation model [40]
- fast far-field approximation model [41]
- the waveguide model [42]
- the Boltzmann model [43]
Table 4.1. Characteristics of the considered outdoor propagation models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Frequency range</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Longley-Rice    | 40MHz-100GHz     | - Path loss is predicted by using the path geometry of the terrain profile.  
- Geometric optics techniques are used to predict signal strengths within the radio horizon.  
- Diffraction losses over isolated obstacles are estimated by using the Fresnel-Kirchoff knife-edge model. |
| Okumura         | 150MHz-2GHz      | - One of the most widely used models for signal prediction in urban areas.  
- Okumura published the results of his extensive measurement campaign as curves, given the median attenuation relative to the free space with a base station antenna height of 200 meters and a mobile antenna height of 3 meters. |
| Hata            | 150MHz-1.5GHz    | - Empirical formulation of the curves provided by Okumura, approximated through analytical formulations.  
- Hata presents the urban area path loss and supplies a correction factor for applying his formula to other situations. |
| Extention to Hata| 1.5GHz-2GHz      | - COST-231 proposed a formula to extend Hata’s model to 2 GHz. |
| Wallisch-Bertoni|                 | - This model considers the impact of rooftops and building heights by using diffraction in order to predict average signal strengths at street level. |
| 2RM             |                 | - Only two paths exist between transmitter and receiver. |
| Double regression|                | - Model with two slopes: -20 dB/dec before the break point, -40 dB/dec after that. |
| Wideband        | 1.9 GHz          | - 2RM is a good estimate for path loss in LOS log-distance path loss model performs well for OBS environments. |

4.1.3 State of Art of the path loss models

In [44] the authors present empirical path-loss formulas for microcells in low-rise and high-rise environments; they are derived from measurements con-
ducted in the San Francisco Bay area. Applicability of path-loss formulas is specified in terms of the restricted range of formula parameters. It was shown from the formula expressions that the slope indexes of Hata model and the single non-LOS formula showed good agreement with elevated antenna heights in a typical low-rise environment. In [45] authors summarize their 22 multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) fixed wireless outdoor propagation measurements at 2.48 GHz conducted in the suburban residential areas of San Jose, California. They report on various channel characteristics such as path loss, Ricean K-factor, cross-polarization-discrimination (XPD), and channel capacity. They present simple models for these characteristics, focusing on excess loss dependency and, derived from that, the variation with distance. Also, they introduce an idea for a generalized MIMO channel model based on these modelled channel characteristics and the correlation properties between them. Hashim and Stavrou in [46] demonstrated that movement of vegetation structures introduces an adverse environment for high frequency radiowave propagation. They examined a series of vegetation scattering measurement campaigns during various wind conditions. The measurements were divided into controlled and outdoor environments. The controlled environment measurements were conducted in an anechoic chamber at 0.9, 2, 12 and 17 GHz. The outdoor measurements were carried out at 1.8 GHz and involved in recording of a transmitted signal originating from an existing digital cellular system (DCS-1800) base station. Analysis demonstrates that the received signal behaviour is highly wind-dependent, especially when the environment is changing from calm to a windy condition. The signal fast-fading is found to be Rician distributed, and an empirical model of the k-factor variation over wind speed is also presented. In [47] the authors describe the type of signals that occur in various environments and the modelling of the propagation parameters. Some key parameters and the relevant measurements are discussed in the different wireless environments treated.

4.2 Indoor Propagation Models

There is a great interest in characterizing the radio communications channel inside a building. The indoor channel differs from the outdoor channel because of the variation in the fading rate and the type of interference. The delay spread in the indoor radio channel is typically smaller than the delay spread in the outdoor channel; moreover, the movement inside a building is often smaller than the movement of vehicles in an urban area. Therefore, the change in channel characteristics is slower in time. The interference types are different because the propagation within the buildings is strongly influenced by local features, such as the construction materials, and the building type.
4.2 Indoor Propagation Models

4.2.1 Log-distance Path Loss Model

In indoor environments the average large-scale path loss is expressed as a function of Transmitter-Receiver separation and path loss exponent $n$. Variations in environmental clutter at different locations having the same T-R separation distance is not accounted for in equation 3.9. This leads to measured signals which are vastly different than the average value predicted by 3.9. To account for the variations described above the equation 3.9 is modified as in 3.10. Notice that this equation is identical in form to the log-normal shadowing model. Typical values for various buildings are provided in [32].

4.2.2 Attenuation Factor Model

An in-building propagation model that includes the effect of building type as well as the variation caused by obstacles two additional terms are added to equation 3.9, resulting in [6, 7, 24, 25, 26, 27]

$$L_p(d) = L_{fs}(d_0) + 10n\log\left(\frac{d}{d_0}\right) + \sum_{q=1}^{Q} FAF(q) + \sum_{p=1}^{P} WAF(p)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.9)

where $FAF(q)$ and $WAF(p)$ are the floor and the wall attenuation factors, respectively. In [48, 49] are listed the $FAF$ values for two buildings.

It has been observed that the propagation path loss as a function of the distance also has two distinct regions for indoor environments [50], as described in Equation 4.9. When electromagnetic radiation is incident on a wall or a floor in an oblique fashion, less power will be transmitted through the wall than would occur at normal incidence. Reference [27] modifies the $WAF(p)$ term to $WAF(p)/\cos\phi_p$ and $FAF(q)$ term to the term to $FAF(q)/\cos\phi_q$, where $WAF(p)$ and $FAF(q)$ are the values of the attenuation factors at normal incidence, and $\phi_p$ and $\phi_q$ are angles of incidence of the signal on the walls and floors, respectively. A diffraction term was also added to the formula in [27]. When the base station is out of the building, the path loss in the building was given in reference [51]. The empirical or statistical models described in this section are simple to implement, and are widely used when the accuracy of the data is not a critical requirement.

4.2.3 Deterministic models

In-building propagation is a highly complex process, as it occurs within environments possessing a variety of geometric and electromagnetic properties. Due to multiple interactions with walls, furniture, equipment, and people, received signals exhibit fading characteristics in space and spreading in time [4]. For site-specific calculations, deterministic approaches based on physical
models that take into account all or most of the environment’s details constitute a reliable choice. In this framework, ray-tracing algorithms have been used extensively to perform indoor wireless studies [52, 53, 54]. On the other hand, there also exist some applications of the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method [55] for similar purposes. For example, the impact of different wall models on several channel parameters was pointed out via FDTD simulations in [56]. Moreover, FDTD results related to window were incorporated in a ray-tracing algorithm in [33], while [5] introduced a hybrid ray-tracing FDTD technique for accurate site-specific calculations.
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Frame error models

Frame loss is a key factor determining the quality of multimedia applications such as audio/video conferencing and Internet telephony. Understanding these error characteristics is important for many purposes, e.g., for simulation-based performance evaluation of wireless multimedia applications or for exploiting knowledge about these error characteristics within a protocol that dynamically adapts its behaviour, e.g., the packet size and speed rate. In either case, a compact representation of the error characteristics, an error model, is required. Such models can be developed on a physical layer, describing the behaviour of the received signal strength and/or the interference power, or they can be applied to the data link layer, describing the sequence of frame that the receiver delivers to higher protocol layers. The advantage of frame error models is that they describe exactly the errors that higher protocol layers (such as transport or application layer) undergo.

While no generally accepted model exists for describing the loss process in Wi-Fi networks, there are some proposals, which we review here. In addition, some simplifications are common in the simulation community. In the following, we review the most common models in actual use. Moreover, since we are dealing with frame loss statistics, a particular instance of a frame loss process can be written as a sequence of zeros, meaning that no loss has occurred and the frame has gone through the channel unharmed, and ones, meaning that the frame has not been received correctly. Given this convention, we describe the main statistical properties of the models subsequently mentions. We also describe how to compute the parameter of each model to fit a measured instance of a frame loss process. All models are discrete stationary random processes of a variable $x_n \in 0, 1$.

We use the common symbols $\mu$ for the mean $E\{x\}$, $\sigma^2$ for the variance $E\{(x - \mu)^2\}$, $r(k)$ for the autocorrelation function $E\{x_n x_{n+k}\}/E\{x^2\}$. We also give expressions for two commonly used statistics: the error gap length distribution and the block error probabilities.

An error gap is a sequence of zeros (correctly received frames) delimited by ones (lost frames). The gap length $U$ is defined as the number of zeros in
a gap plus one, so a gap is made from a delimiting one, \( U - 1 \) zeros, and one more delimiting one. The error gap distribution \( u(n) \) is defined as \( \Pr\{U \geq n\} \).

An error burst is a sequence of ones (lost frames) delimited by zeros (correctly received frames). The error burst distribution \( b(n) \) is defined as \( \Pr\{B \geq n\} \).

The block error probability \( P(m, n) \) is defined as the probability that exactly \( m \) errors occur in a block of \( n \) consecutive frames.

### 5.1 Bernoullian

The simplest model for frame loss in a communication network is the Bernoullian process. With this model, each frame has a fixed probability \( p \) of being lost or corrupted; the loss probabilities for each frame are i.i.d. (independent and identically distributed) random variables. Given a number \( N \) of transmitted frames, the number \( X \) of lost frames follows a binomial distribution:

\[
P\{X = x\} = \binom{N}{x} p^x (1 - p)^{N-x}
\]  

(5.1)

which, for big \( N \), can be approximated to Poisson distribution with mean and variance both equal to \( Np \), needing just a single parameter, this is the simplest significant model. Being \( p \) the probability that a given frame lost, this process has mean \( \mu = p \), variance \( \sigma^2 = \mu(1 - \mu) = p(1 - p) \) and autocorrelation function null for all lags greater than 0.

Since the most prominent feature of this process is its mean, the obvious way to fit it to an observed trace is to set \( p \) equal to the mean of the observed process.

However, it is possible to fit the process to the distribution using other statistics, such as the error gap distribution and the block error probability [1].

The error gap distribution of a Bernoullian process is \( u(n) = p(1 - p)^{n-1} \), that is, a geometric distribution with mean equal to \( 1/p \), while the error burst distribution is \( b(n) = p^{n-1}(1 - p) \), that is, a geometric distribution with mean equal to \( 1/(1 - p) \).

The error block probability is, for a given block length \( n \), a binomial distribution with mean equal to \( pn \).

### 5.2 Two state Markov model

The first step towards a more complex model is to introduce some correlation among the loss probabilities of consecutive frames, thus dropping the assumption of i.i.d. loss probabilities for different frames. We suppose that the channel can be in one of two states, \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) where frames are always
received (good state) or always lost (bad state). We model this description as a discrete two-state Markov chain, shown in Figure 5.1.

We call $p$ and $q$ the state transition probabilities $p_{12}$ and $p_{21}$, respectively, so we have $p_{11} = 1 - p$ and $p_{22} = 1 - q$. This is a two-parameter model: one more than the simple Bernoullian model, the price for introducing correlation.

With the notation used previously, $p$ is the probability of exiting the good state and $q$ the probability of exiting the bad state; the stationary probability of being in the good state is $P_g = q/(p + q)$, while that of being in the bad state is $P_b = p/(p + q)$. This process has mean $\mu = P_b = p/(p + q)$, variance $\sigma^2 = \mu(1 - \mu) = pq/(p + q)^2$ and autocorrelation function $r(n) = P_b + (1 - P_b)(1 - p - q)^n$.

The mean duration of the good and bad states are $1/p$ and $1/q$, respectively; this means that the channel exhibits error bursts of consecutive ones, whose mean length is $1/q$, that are separated by gaps of consecutive zeros whose mean length is $1/p$. Both burst and gap lengths have geometric distribution.

The error gap distribution of a bistable process is $u(n) = 1$ for $n = 1$ and $p(1 - p)^{n-2}$ for $n > 1$ and $b(n) = q(1 - q)^{n-1}$.

### 5.3 Two-state Markov-modulated Bernoullian process

The concept of having a good and a bad state in a communication channel was proposed by Gilbert [2] by assigning a null loss probability to the good state and a $1 - h$ loss probability to the bad state. As a consequence, the channel is governed by a Bernoulli process while in the bad state. Later on, Elliott [3], complicated the model by defining the good state as having a $1 - k$ loss probability, thus using a Bernoulli process for both the good and the bad states. Gilbert’s model needs the three $p$, $q$ and $h$ parameters, while Elliott’s needs one more, namely $k$.

The stationary state probabilities are the same as in the bistable case. The error probability is $1 - k$ when in good state, $1 - h$ when in the bad state. This process has mean $\mu = (1 - k)P_g + (1 - h)P_b$ and variance $\sigma^2 = \mu(1 - \mu)$, where $k = 1$ in the Gilbert model. The autocorrelation function for $n > 0$ for the Gilbert model is $r(n) = (1 - h)(P_b + (1 - P_b)(1 - p - q)^n$, while for
the more general Gilbert-Elliott model (GE) is

\[ r(n) = \mu + \frac{pq(h-k)^2}{\mu(p+q)^2}(1-p-q)^n. \]

The mean duration of the good and bad states are the same as in the bistable case, but the error burst distribution is

\[ b(n) = (1-q)^{n-1}(1-h)^{n-1}[q(1-q)h] \]

in the Gilbert model.

Gilbert derived the relationship between the transition probabilities \( p \) and \( q \), \( h \), and the error gap distribution. Following the development in [2], the error gap distribution can be written in the former:

\[ u(n) = AJ^n + (1-A)L^n \]  

(5.2)

By using the values of \( A \), \( J \), and \( L \) which best match the error gap distribution, the model parameter \( q \) and \( p \) and \( h \) can be derive as

\[
\begin{align*}
  h &= \frac{J}{(1-A)(1-J)} \\
  p &= \frac{L}{1-h} \\
  q &= A(J-L) + (1-J) \left( \frac{L-h}{1-h} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

Figures 5.2 and 5.3 show the error gap and error bursts distributions, respectively.

\[ \text{Error gap Distribution (q=0.001)} \]

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{p=0.1 h=0.05 FER=0.940594} \\
\text{p=0.1 h=0.15 FER=0.841584} \\
\text{p=0.1 h=0.25 FER=0.742574} \\
\text{p=0.1 h=0.35 FER=0.643564} \\
\text{p=0.1 h=0.45 FER=0.544554} \\
\text{p=0.5 h=0.05 FER=0.948104} \\
\text{p=0.5 h=0.15 FER=0.848303} \\
\text{p=0.5 h=0.25 FER=0.748503} \\
\text{p=0.5 h=0.35 FER=0.648703} \\
\text{p=0.5 h=0.45 FER=0.548902}
\end{array} \]

Fig. 5.2. Error gap distribution for the Gilbert model.
The block error probabilities are found using a set of recurrence relations [3].

\[
P(m, n) = \frac{q}{p+q} + S_1(m, n) \frac{p}{p+q} S_2(m, n)\]  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

where \(S_1(m, n)\) and \(S_2(m, n)\) are given in recurrent form by

\[
S_1(m, n) = S_1(m, n-1)(1-p)S_2(m, n-1)p \\
S_2(m, n) = S_2(m, n-1)(1-q)h + S_2(m-1, n-1)(1-q)(1-h) + . \hspace{1cm} (5.4)
\]

\[... + S_1(m, n-1)qh + S_1(m-1, n-1)q(1-h)\]

The initial conditions used in computing the above values for Gilbert’s model are

\[
S_1(0, 1) = 1 \\
S_1(1, 1) = 0 \\
S_2(0, 1) = h \\
S_2(1, 1) = 1 - h
\]

\[S_1(m, n) = S_2(m, n) = 0 \text{ when } m < 0 \text{ or } m > n.\]
Another approach is to determine the values of the transition probabilities and $h$, which provide the closest fit for the block error probabilities.

In the GE model the error gap distribution is modelled the same way as in the Gilbert model. While there will be some minor differences in the error gap distribution due to the addition of the parameter $k$, it is assumed that the value of $k$ is close to one, and will have only a minor effect on the distribution values. Its effect on the error gap distribution is therefore ignored. The values generated for $P(m, n)$, however, must include the effect of the parameter $k$. Note that GE’s model reduces to Gilbert’s model if $k = 1$. The block error probability for the GE model is given by 5.3, the recurrent form of $S_2(m, n)$ is given by 5.4, but the recurrent form of $S_1(m, n)$ is

$$S_1(m, n) = S_1(m, n-1)(1-p)k + S_1(m-1, n-1)(1-p)(1-k) + \ldots + S_2(m, n-1)pk + S_2(m-1, n-1)p(1-k).$$

(5.5)

The following approaches are used in determining the model parameters, starting from the data measure.

1. Using the transition probabilities obtained from the Gilbert model for the error gap distribution, find the value of $k$ that provides a best fit to the $P(m, n)$ data.
2. Find parameter values of $p$, $q$, $h$, and $k$ that best fit the block error data.

5.4 State of Art on the utilization of frame loss models

The GE model has extensively been used to assist in the design of communication systems [4, 5]. In [6] and [7] performance of different version of TCP were evaluated in the presence of GE channel. In [8, 9] and [10], the GE model is used to evaluated the channel codes, while in [11] the impact of the loss burst length on the end-to-end FEC over multi-hop GE wireless channels are analyzed and presented. One of the major mistakes in literature about the GE wireless model is that does not differ from the 2-state Markov model. Note that when $p + q = 1$, the model turns into a Bernoulli model.

The validity of Markov models has been widely studied. These studies focus in verifying the legitimacy of fundamental assumptions and the statistical characteristics of the model. In particular, Wang and Chang [12] show under what conditions the Markov assumption of Rayleigh fading is adequate, while Tan and Beaulieu [13] extend this idea and suggest that a better approach is to analyze the autocorrelation function of the model. Authors in [14] suppose a flat fading channel modelled as a Gaussian random process. With this type of channel they consider the power threshold as a binary process that describes block (hundred of bits) successes and failures. They show that for slow fading the first order Markov approximation is adequate; on the contrary, in fast fading, an i.i.d. model provides a suitable losses’ description.
These studies on the validity of the model mainly aim at showing how well the model represents the received fading envelope, not at describing bit or frame losses in the channel. Furthermore, these and other validation studies have assumed that the underlying communications channel is not frequency selective, which is only valid for narrowband channels. Additionally, in the characterization of these models, it has been assumed that fairly simple modulation schemes, such as BPSK or DPSK, are used [15]. These assumptions are not valid for wideband channels like those used by 802.11 devices, where complex modulation schemes are present. Moreover, no experimental validation has been done on how appropriate these models are when used to represent frame losses in 802.11 channels.

In the context of wireless link layer modelling, Konrad et al. in [16] and [17] outline the inadequacy of the two-state Markov chain and present a Markov-based Trace Analysis (MTA) model algorithm for GSM wireless channels. Ji et al. in [18] compare the performance of the MTA model, the full-state k-order model, the hidden Markov model, and the extended ON/OFF model in capturing GSM frame losses.

In [19] the authors use the WaveLAN physical device. The transmission is via direct-sequence spread spectrum using the lowest ISM bands, 902-928 MHz. Starting from measures they derive that while the two-state Markov model may be adequate for some applications, the higher accuracy of the improved model justifies its complexity for others. Similar studies are conducted in [20], where the authors measure throughput, packet loss rates, range, and patterns of errors within packets. They conclude that the reliable range of WaveLAN is about 40 meters. Beyond this limit, its behaviour becomes unstable: the relative placement of sender and receiver becomes extremely important, with movements of even a single meter in an unexpected direction making an enormous difference in the success of packet capture. This event is the same that we have verified in our 802.11b measurement campaigns, as we will show in the following sections.

In order to model the indoor 802.11a wireless channel, in [21] the authors test five different models: Gilbert, Gilbert-Elliott, and the 3-4-5 state hidden Markov models. They show that higher-order state hidden Markov models provide a better fit to measured data than the traditional two-state Gilbert models.

Carvalho et al. in [22] proposed a novel wireless indoor network model (logarithmic series distribution) for 802.11g and compare it with GE by performing the chi-square goodness-of-fit test. The proposed model is shown to be more accurate than the GE in particular but very representative IEEE802.11g scenario. The model has two parameters: one is a function of the source packet rate; and the order is almost constant. Measures have been performed in an indoor environment with an infrastructure network. They conclude that their model is 63.9% superior to GE.

Khayam [23] and Radha [24] conducted an investigation at the IEEE802.11b link layer in order to facilitate the design of effective cross-layer error control
schemes for the support of real-time services. The authors found that the non-LOS indoor wireless channel is characterized by byte-level error patterns that are not memoryless, thus showing that simplistic models are inadequate for that type of environment, for which they propose a hierarchical Markov model (hMM). The same authors in [25] demonstrate that the traditional two-state Markov chain provides a very suitable model for 802.11b packet loss process. They also show that the two-state, the hierarchical and the hidden Markov models are not adequate for modelling the bit errors.

In [5] the authors investigate the performance of IEEE 802.11b ad hoc networks. They present a channel model for an 802.11 network derived from measurements done in an outdoor environment, by considering different traffic types (i.e., TCP and UDP traffics), at a data rate of 2 Mbps. Their experimental results indicate that transmission ranges are much shorter than assumed in simulation studies. In addition, they also observed a dependency of transmission range on transmission speed and mobile device’s height. The channel model they derive for 802.11 networks indicates that virtual carrier sensing is not necessary and the RTS/CTS mechanism only introduces additional overhead. This model is used to identify new hidden stations or capture phenomenon that are not solved by current 802.11 mechanisms. The dependency between the data rate and the transmission range was investigated by measuring the packet loss rate experienced by two communicating stations whose network interfaces transmit at a constant (preset) data rate. Specifically, four sets of measurements were performed, corresponding to the different data rates: 1, 2, 5.5, and 11 Mbps.
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Measurement campaign

We started in 2005 a wide measurement campaign on frame losses over WLANs with the aim of defining wireless channel indoor and outdoor models more matching the reality than those available in the literature. This section describes the test-bed used for the measurement campaign.

Only a few researchers have tackled the expensive task of measuring WLANs [1] to understand performance anomalies and the implications of installation choices. However, accurate WLAN measurements have proven to be more elusive than those in wired LANs due to the characteristics of the wireless medium. For instance, measurements over a single wireless hop, such as in an 802.11 infrastructure network, can provide different results depending upon the hop distance, cross and contending traffic, the building structure and even human motion within a measurement test-bed. In general, capturing aspects of WLAN performance requires more than collecting measurement data at any one layer in the protocol stack, and proper investigation is needed at all layers. As far as the MAC layer is considered, a complete frame loss model needs to consider a frame error model, an ARQ (Automatic Repeat reQuest) model and a multi-rate switching model that implements a dynamic rate switching algorithm.

We want examine how ad hoc point-to-point Wi-Fi behaves at the frame level, with both ARQ and dynamic rate switching disabled. As far as we know no results have been published of analogous measurement campaigns.

In fact, measurement campaigns have usually been conducted on complex network setups [6], or in simple scenarios where ARQ algorithm was always used, hiding the underlying frame error process details [5, 7].

An additional peculiarity of our measurement process is that transmission is not greedy, but instead individual frames are sent at precisely controlled time intervals, thus allowing a precise timing characterisation of the frame error process.

One aim of this measurement campaign is to explore a relationship between transmission range, transmission rate and height of transmitter and receiver from ground. In order to do that, we relied on measurements of the
received power level as seen by the network card. Few experimenters have adopted this procedure, such as [7], but to our knowledge no published results are available at the frame level. In order to collect detailed information about frame transmission on wireless channel, researchers need to use tested procedures: description and validation of such a procedure with associated software is an additional contribution of this chapter.

6.1 The measurement methodology

In order to perform reliable measurements at the frame level on a WiFi channel in the absence of collisions, we use two laptops equipped with the Debian GNU/Linux operating system. Standard drivers are used for the wireless network cards. The cards are put in ad hoc mode, so that it is not necessary to depend on an access point, and no management overhead is present apart from the periodic beacon. Important settings for IEEE 802.11b network cards are the fragmentation threshold, which we disabled in our measurements, the RTS/CTS threshold, which we also disabled, the retransmission limit, and the transmission rate. We were interested in channel-level measurements, so we disabled retransmissions, and we disabled the adaptive rate switching, in order to make measurements at different transmission rates in controlled conditions.

During this doctoral thesis we wrote a software transmitter (Send) and a receiver (Receive) able to collect statistics about frame losses and power levels by using the built-in signal level of only received frames. The transmitter sends frames at precisely controlled time intervals, with known length and contents; the receiver checks the sequence number inside the frames and keeps a trace of the lost ones. Both the transmitter and the receiver log a timestamp and the power level for each frame, together with other statistics useful for assessing the procedure reliability. The tools discussed in this chapter are released with a free software copyright license and are available for download at http://wnlab.isti.cnr.it/paolo/measurementSoftware.html.

The novelty of this measurement campaign is that we simply used the built-in signal level monitor available in the wireless boards, instead of using expensive hardware such as logic status analyzer, etc....

6.1.1 Timing considerations

Depending on the type of measurements, it may be necessary to accurately synchronize the clocks of the sender and receiver. For example, when evaluating packet delay due to collisions and frame retransmissions, it is important that the clocks of the transmitter and the receiver be synchronized. We used the Network Time Protocol (NTP, [8]) to synchronize the clocks of the laptops before starting the measurements and to evaluate the frequency error and consequently the time difference at the end of a measurement. We found out that just a 15 minutes’ time of warmup after boot was enough to let the
clock of the laptops stabilize with a residual error of less than 1 ppm, which amounts to a few milliseconds after a hour long measurement. Also, the clock jitter we could measure is always in the order of few \( \mu s \), that is, the same order of magnitude of errors introduced by the Linux kernel.

One use of precisely synchronized clocks is to evaluate the processing delays of the transmitter and receiver. A strict upper bound for this statistics is the one-way delay of packets, which we measured to always be less than 1 ms. Since 1 ms is the clock resolution on the kernel we used, the delay is less than the timing error due to the clock granularity.

As far as timing is concerned, then, we conclude that processing delays are negligible and that clocks are accurate as long as the laptops are warmed up for 15 minutes after boot and then synchronized with NTP. As far as the precision of sending times is concerned, we refer the reader to Fig. 6.1, where we report the difference, measured at the receiver, between the interpacket intervals and the expected fixed value that we used during validation.

![Fig. 6.1. Error of packet intervals at the receiver.](image)

### 6.1.2 Software

\textit{Send} is the transmitter program, which sends frames at precisely controlled time intervals, with the format shown in Fig. 6.2.

\textit{Send} uses every care in order to be as precise as possible and use few system resources. It uses Linux’s quasi-real time scheduler, it locks pages into
memory after growing the stack, in order to avoid page faults, it can use Posix high resolution timers if the appropriate kernel patch is installed an is written for speed.

*Receive* is the receiver program, a frame sniffer built upon the PCAP [9], a free library which provides a high level interface to packet capture systems on different operating systems. All packets on the network, even those addressed to other hosts, are accessible through this mechanism. *Receive* knows the format of frames sent by *Send*, and uses this knowledge to trace lost frames, by analyzing sequence numbers placed by *Send* into the frames. It is also possible to use a modified card driver that does not discard frames received with a bad CRC with *Receive*, which is then able to log bit corruptions by comparing expected with received bits, but this possibility has not been used in the validation measurements.

*Receive* shows packet losses, packet corruptions (only with a modified network driver) and mean signal strength in real time using graphical output on a text console. It collects data for each received frame and stores it into two separate files, one for information regarding bit corruptions and one for received frames, for each of which it reports information's like arrival time, frame length, sequence number, signal level and so on.
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A fundamental issue often disregarded in MANET simulation is how to model the packet loss process as seen by the application and routing software.

Only a few researchers have tackled the expensive task of measuring WLANs [10]. The procedure that we used for the measurements is chosen in such a way that the characteristics of the channel are measured, rather than the specifics of the network cards or the protocol. Most importantly, retransmissions are disabled, speed is fixed, ad hoc mode is used, and frames are sent at precisely controlled time instants. As a consequence, our results are useful for a wide range of simulation applications. We are not aware of any published experimental results that relate frame errors with signal strength and distance in controlled conditions.

Most MANET simulations assume a Wi-Fi rural network scenario, where packet loss is the outcome of a three-stage process. The lowest-level stage is the frame error process, that is, the statistical description of the occurrences of a transmitted IEEE 802.11 frame being received in error and discarded, or not received at all. Next comes the ARQ (automatic repeat request) stage described by the MAC layer, whereby the transmitter considers a frame as lost if it does not receive an ACK. In this case, it retransmits the frame up to a configurable number of times, typically set to 7. On top of this, Wi-Fi interfaces implement multi-rate switching, by choosing among the available modulations and codings in order to better exploit the instantaneous channel conditions. What applications running on a Wi-Fi network see is the outcome of all three stages. In this chapter, we propose a simple yet effective model for the frame error process, which is based on extensive measurements in a rural area using laptops with standard Wi-Fi interfaces.

In this chapter we examine how ad hoc point-to-point Wi-Fi behaves at the frame level, with both ARQ and dynamic rate switching disabled. As far as we know no results have been published of analogous measurement campaigns. In fact, measurement campaigns have usually been conducted on complex network setups [6], or in simple scenarios where ARQ algorithm was always used, hiding the underlying frame error process details [5, 7], or else by
aggregating many diverse results that sum up different propagation effects [11, 12]. An additional peculiarity of our measurement process is that transmission is not greedy, but instead individual frames are sent at precisely controlled time intervals, which coupled with the lack of infrastructure transmissions and ARQ retransmissions allows a precise timing characterisation of the frame error process.

One aim of this chapter is to explore a relationship between transmission range, transmission rate and height of transmitter and receiver from ground. In order to do that, we relied on measurements of the received power level as seen by the network card. This procedure has been adopted by few experimenters, such as [7], but to our knowledge no published results are available with precise timings at the frame level. We find that a two-ray model is adequate to describe the relationship between distance and received power. In contrast with the so-called two-ray CMU Monarch model used in ns-2 [4], which is in fact an approximate double regression model, in our measures we observed that the received power does not monotonically decrease with distance, but has a significant “hole” where the direct signal and the ground-reflected signal interfere destructively.

The second aspect we explore in detail is the relationship between the received power level and the frame error process. We find that the frame error process is Bernoullian, and that the error probability closely follows the law for coherent PSK demodulation in AWGN (Additive White Gaussian Noise) channel. This contrasts with simple models where all packets are lost if the distance exceeds a given range.

Third, we observe a slow fading process, with bandwidth between 0.25 and 2.5 Hz, which may have a strong influence on the behaviour of dynamic rate switching algorithms. This effect is significantly different from the one implemented in the shadowing CMU Monarch model of ns-2, which ignores correlations between subsequent frame errors.

The proposed model should prove useful for simulations of mobile ad hoc rural networks, particularly for evaluating the effects of mobility. Because of its simplicity, it could be used as a benchmark scenario when evaluating results obtained in more challenging environments.

7.1 Measurement setup

The measurements have been carried out in a rural environment, such as a wide field not cultivated, partially covered with grass, with an unobstructed line of sight, without interferences due to other wireless networks, and without reflections due to walls, trees, lampposts or buildings. Some applications can be used in this type of environments; for instance, precision agriculture is one of the promising domains where wireless sensor networks could be exploited, by observing the microclimate within a field, so that plant-specific farming can ultimately be realized. In this context, the wireless networks can be used
to create a backbone between sensor networks and terrestrial networks. Other applications can be for rescue teams working in the open, or more generally for public protection and disaster recovery (PPDR) situations.

We performed our outdoor rural measurement campaign using two IBM Thinkpad R40e laptops (Celeron 2 GHz with 256 MB ram running Debian Linux with a 2.6.8 kernel), equipped with CNet CNWLC-811 IEEE 802.11b wireless cards and standard drivers. The cards were put in ad hoc mode, so that it was not necessary to depend on an access point, and no management overhead was present except for the periodic beacon.

We disabled fragmentation, RTS/CTS, retransmissions (ARQ) and dynamic rate switching. We used different fixed speeds of 1, 2, 5.5 and 11 Mb/s, with frame lengths of 500, 1000 and 1500 data bytes, at transmitter-receiver distances up to 350 m.

By disabling ARQ, the MAC layer transmits each packet only once, rather than trying to retransmit a frame up to 7 times after a loss. This means that we sampled the channel at a constant rate of 200 frames per second, thus accurately measuring the frame error process in the time domain. We performed over 200 simulation runs, each 200 000 frames long, in three different outdoor rural locations.

7.2 Two-ray propagation model

Previous studies found that path loss characteristics in LOS (line of sight) environment are dominated by interference between the direct path and the ground-reflected path [2], as in the two-ray model, in the following referred to as 2RM. This model is characterised by a break point that separates the different properties of propagation in near and far regions relative to the transmitter; before the break point, the mean attenuation is close to the free-space path loss $1/d^2$, while after that point it decreases as $1/d^4$.

The double regression model suggested in [13] approximates 2RM using two slopes meeting at the break point $b$, whose position is to be chosen within a transition region:

$$\frac{\pi h_t h_r}{\lambda} < b < \frac{4\pi h_t h_r}{\lambda},$$

(7.1)

where $h_t$ is the transmitter antenna height, $h_r$ is the receiver antenna height, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the radio signal. The two-ray CMU Monarch model used in ns-2 [4] adopts a double regression model, with the break point set to

$$\frac{4\pi h_t h_r}{\lambda}.$$  

(7.2)

For frequencies in the hundreds of MHz, such as those considered in [13], 2RM has a trend that is well-approximated by a double regression model. However, in the case of Wi-Fi, the double regression model is less suitable for approximating 2RM, as shown in Figure 7.1.
Given the above considerations, we propose to substitute the two-ray CMU Monarch propagation model used in ns-2 (in fact a double regression model) with 2RM for modelling the received power. The main reason is that 2RM correctly models the “hole” that we observed in our measurements at a distance of about 15 m.

Figure 7.2 shows the measured values superimposed over the two-ray CMU Monarch model and on the proposed 2RM. We computed the measured signal level in dB by fitting the observed values with a -40 dB/dec slope for distances greater then $b$, and estimating that a tick on the received signal level provided by the card represents 0.6 dB. Notice in Fig. 7.2 that 2RM accounts well for the measured values, and specifically it models the “hole” that we observed in the measurements. 2RM predicts that the received signal level has its last hole at a distance

$$d_h = 2h_t h_r / \lambda$$

from the transmitter, provided that $h_t, h_r \ll d$. With vertical polarisation, at the bottom of the last hole the power level is the same as that received at a distance

$$\sqrt{\pi \sqrt{\epsilon_r} d_h^3} / (h_t + h_r),$$

that is, approximately

$$-20 \log_{10}(\sqrt{\epsilon_r} d_h / (2(h_t + h_r))) \ dB$$
lower than the signal level predicted by the two-ray CMU Monarch model.

In our case, with nodes at 1 m height from the ground, 2RM predicts a hole at 16 m where the received power with vertical polarisation and an estimated relative permittivity \( \epsilon_r \) of 15, is the same as that received at 160 m; the error with respect to the double regression model is about 24 dB at that point. This is an important observation, because it means that, with vertical polarisation, connection can be lost at very short distances if the transmission range of the card is less than about 160 m. While, in our measurement, we observed transmission ranges of about 200 m at 11 Mb/s, any reduction in the transmission range will make the effect of the hole apparent and break connectivity.

A transmission range reduction may be consequent to one or more different effects, such as a less sensitive receiver, a speed higher than 11 Mb/s, a non-direct antenna orientation, a mismatch between transmitting and receiving antenna polarisation, or scattering due to obstacles close to the transceivers. Such effects are probably very frequent; one example are the transmission ranges observed in [5], which vary from 30 m to 120 m at different speeds compared to the ranges we measured, which vary from 190 m to 340 m. Another example is the horizontal radiation pattern measured in [14] for two D-Link DWL 650 PCMCIA cards, shown in Fig. 7.3: signal strength varia-
tions in excess of 10 dB are possible, and variations of 3 dB are normal when changing the orientation by 20°. Since this can happen for both the transmitter and the receiver, one can get signal strength variations in excess of 20 dB due to the horizontal radiation pattern alone; considering the vertical radiation pattern would increase these numbers. As a consequence, rural area simulations for mobile networks (MANETs) should consider transceivers whose performance a) is generally less than the declared one, b) is generally variable to keep the changing orientation into account, and c) may show a hole in the transmission range at about 15 m for transceivers at 1 m height from the ground, especially for speeds greater than 11 Mb/s.

\[ L_d = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{1}{d} + \Gamma e^{j2\pi \frac{\delta_d}{d + \delta}} \right)^2, \]  

(7.3)

where \( \delta_d = \sqrt{(h_t + h_r)^2 + d^2} - \sqrt{(h_t - h_r)^2 + d^2} \) is the path difference between the direct and the reflected rays. \( \Gamma \) is the reflection coefficient, which for non-conductive, non-ferromagnetic materials
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is a real number between -1 and 1, different for parallel (horizontal) and perpendicular (vertical) polarisations:

\[ I_{\text{hor}} = \frac{\epsilon_r \sin(\theta) - k}{\epsilon_r \sin(\theta) + k}, \quad I_{\text{ver}} = \frac{\sin(\theta) - k}{\sin(\theta) + k} \]

where \( k = \sqrt{\epsilon_r - \cos(\theta)^2}, \quad \theta = \arccos \frac{d}{\sqrt{(h_t + h_r)^2 + d^2}}. \)

Typical values for the ground relative permittivity \( \epsilon_r \) are 4, 15, 25, while polarisation of the radio wave may change significantly due to reflection or scattering process [15].

The most commonly used type of antennas are vertically or horizontally polarised [16]. In the following, we consider vertical polarisation because it is more widespread and because it is the case where the hole is deeper, making it a worst case scenario.

7.2.1 Slow fading

The received power level is modulated by a slow fading process with bandwidth in the range from 0.25 to 2.5 Hz. We attribute this modulation to multi-path fading caused by scattering of the radio waves on terrain features imperceptibly moved by the gentle wind, such as moving grass (for the slow oscillations) and moving ground powder (for the faster ones).

The fading distribution is approximately bell shaped around the mean received power, with a ratio of mean power to standard deviation of about 5 dB. In practice, the size and speed of this fading process will have a significant impact on dynamic rate switching algorithms, so their design should take it into account.

7.3 Loss probability versus power level

In [11] a series of measurements is presented that were obtained while comparing simulation results with experimental ones in MANETs. While interesting and compatible with our own results, data are aggregated over many positions, and cannot be directly compared with our limited but precisely controlled scenario.

Our aim is to find a statistical relationship between frame errors and received power level. In Fig. 7.4 frame error and signal level are depicted for each distance at various transmission rates.

7.3.1 Frame error process

A facet that we want to investigate in this chapter is describing the statistical properties of frame error traces in IEEE802.11b in the rural LOS environment, and identify the characteristics that should be captured in a frame error model.
Fig. 7.4. Frame errors and signal levels for each transmission rate.

Let us define an error burst (burst for short) as a sequence of consecutive errored frames, marked by 1 in our traces, and an error-free burst (gap for short) as a sequence of consecutive correct frames, marked by 0 in our traces. Let $Z_i$ denote a wide sense stationary sequence of random variables corresponding to the frame error trace. Let $X_i, Y_i$ denote the length of bursts and gaps, respectively.

- **Frame error rate** ($p$). The frame error rate is given by:
  \[
  p = \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{X} + \bar{Y}}
  \]  
  (7.4)
  where $\bar{X}$ is the average burst length, and $\bar{Y}$ the average gap length.

- **Discrete probability mass function** (pmf). The pmf of $X$ and $Y$ are defined as
  \[
  f_X(x) = P\{X = x\}, \quad f_Y(y) = P\{Y = y\}
  \]  
  (7.5)

- **Autocorrelation**. The autocorrelation of a frame error trace is defined as
  \[
  \rho_Z(h) = \frac{E[(Z_{i+h} - \bar{Z})(Z_i - \bar{Z})]}{E[(Z_i - \bar{Z})^2]}
  \]  
  (7.6)
  where $h$ is the lag and $\bar{Z}$ is the mean of $Z$.

- **Coefficient of variation** (Cv). The Cv of bursts and gaps are defined as
  \[
  \text{Cv}(X) = \frac{\sigma_X}{\bar{X}}, \quad \text{Cv}(Y) = \frac{\sigma_Y}{\bar{Y}}
  \]  
  (7.7)
where $\sigma_X$ and $\sigma_Y$ are the standard deviations of burst and gap lengths, respectively. This parameter is a measure of variability with respect to the geometric distribution (which has a coefficient of variation less than or equal to one).

### 7.4 Modelling frame errors as a Bernoulli process

We analyse the stationarity behaviour of the errored frame sequences by using the Mann-Kendall test. To this end we first split the traces in equal length segments, we compute the mean for each segment, and then we run the stationarity test. We found that, at 0.05 significance level, all the traces pass the stationarity test with a segment length of 1000 samples. The follow statistic will be refered at this segment length.

We examine now the autocorrelation function and the probability mass function associated with the burst and gap lengths.

The autocorrelation function shows that no correlation exists for all the lags evaluated inside each segment. Our samples are then stationary and uncorrelated for lags not longer than 1000 samples.

Figures 7.5 and 7.6 show the probability mass functions of bursts and gaps, with frame error rates categorised in 10% wide intervals.

![Fig. 7.5. Probability mass function of burst lengths.](image-url)
Each line in Figure 7.5 represents the burst distribution calculated over intervals listed in the legend. The figures show that the burst and gap length distributions are well approximated by exponentially decaying functions.

All these characteristics are consistent with a Bernoulli error process, that is, samples of the frame error process are i.i.d. random variables with constant probability $p$ of being equal to 1 (frame error) and $1 - p$ probability of being 0 (frame correctly received) during short time intervals. Let’s now check whether the coefficient of variation for the traces, defined in (7.7), is consistent with a Bernoulli process, for which:

$$C_v(X) = \frac{\sigma_X}{\bar{X}} = \frac{\sqrt{p/(1-p)^2}}{1/(1-p)} = \sqrt{p}$$

$$C_v(Y) = \frac{\sigma_Y}{\bar{Y}} = \sqrt{1-p}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.8)

For each segment, we computed the frame error rate $p$, $C_v(X)$, and $C_v(Y)$ then we compared the measured results with the analytical ones in (7.8). The overall means of the relative errors are 0.007 and 0.006 for $C_v(X)$ and $C_v(Y)$, respectively.

![Fig. 7.6. Probability mass function of gaps lengths.](image)

Since it is evident that the distribution of the burst and gap lengths decays linearly when a log-scale is used for the y-axis, suggesting that burst and gap lengths are geometrically distributed, we use the chi-square goodness-of-fit test (Figure 7.7) to provide one more systematic evidence that the distributions are
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We compare the empirical distribution of the burst and gap lengths with an exponential distribution (which is the continuous-valued equivalent of the geometric distribution). The chi-square goodness-of-fit test is used to check whether to reject the null hypothesis that the burst and gap lengths are random variable with the given distribution. The data are divided into $k$ bins and the test is defined as

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}
$$

(7.9)

where $O_i$ is the observed value for bin $i$ and $E_i$ is the expected value for bin $i$. We divided the whole trace in equal segment length, for lengths varying from 100 to 80000. We verified that the null hypothesis is not rejected 90% of times at significance level 5% with a segment length of 1000 samples.

In summary, we can consider the observed frame error process as a Bernoullian process for time spans up to 5 s. For longer time spans, the Bernoulli process is modulated by a slowly-varying process.

7.4.1 AWGN model

We found out that modelling the propagation channel as a simple additive white Gaussian noise channel with perfect synchronisation provides a good
fit with observed results, as shown in Figure 7.8, where observed frame error rate is plotted versus received signal strength indicator (RSSI) plus a constant value found by minimisation of squared log differences. Specifically, the law relating frame error probability $p$ with received power is well approximated by

$$p = 1 - [1 - \text{erp}(R + g_p)]^{l_p} [1 - \text{erp}(R + g_d)]^{l_d},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.10)$$

with $\text{erp}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{erfc}(10^\frac{x}{20})$,

where $l_p$ and $l_d$ are the lengths in bytes of the PLCP header and of the MAC data part, respectively; $g_p$ and $g_d$ are the rate gains in dB for the PLCP header and the payload, respectively, which depend on the transmission rate; $R$ is the ratio of chip energy over noise at the receiver in dB, relative to 11 Mb/s rate.

Header and data lengths are summarised in Table 7.1. Rate gains relative to the 11 Mb/s data rate are obtained from [17, 18, 19]; header lengths include 8 bytes of LLC+SNAP headers; $g_p$ for rates of 2, 5.5 and 11 Mb/s are given for long (short) preambles.

### 7.4.2 Practical usage

As far as the value of $R$ in (7.10) is concerned, it must account for the path loss computed using (7.3), plus an offset accounting for transmission power, antenna gain depending on orientation and type, internal noise of the receiver,
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Table 7.1. Rate-dependent parameters in Equation 7.10.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bit rate [Mb/s]</th>
<th>( L_p ) [byte]</th>
<th>( L_d ) [byte]</th>
<th>( g_p ) [dB]</th>
<th>( g_d ) [dB]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36 + payload</td>
<td>+7.9</td>
<td>+7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36 + payload</td>
<td>+7.9 (+4.9)</td>
<td>+4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36 + payload</td>
<td>+7.9 (+4.9)</td>
<td>+3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>36 + payload</td>
<td>+7.9 (+4.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 + payload</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>+5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 + payload</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 + payload</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>+1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 + payload</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 + payload</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 + payload</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 + payload</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>-11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>38 + payload</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>-12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and other possible sources of noise like scattering due to obstacles near the antennas. Let us define a reference scenario, consistent with our measurements and the receiver sensitivity as defined in IEEE 802.11. We consider two stations placed at 1 m height from ground that transmit a sequence of frames containing a 1024 bytes MPDU (MAC protocol data unit) at 11 Mb/s, with a frame error rate of 8% at 200 m. Given the frame length, the rate and the frame error rate, from (7.10) we obtain

\[ R = 9.6 \text{ dB} \]

2RM is practically coincident with the -40 dB/dec asymptote for distances greater than the break point defined in (7.2). 200 m is farther than the break point when the height from the ground of equal-height nodes is less than 1.4 m, which is consistent with our previous assumptions. We can thus approximate \( L_d \) given by (7.3) with

\[ L_d = -51.9 \text{ dB} \]

which, for \( d = 200 \text{ m} \), gives \( L_d = -51.9 \text{ dB} \). This gives us the relationship in dB \( R = L_d + 61.5 \).

More generally, the value of \( R \) in Equation (7.10) should be set to

\[ R = L_d + 61.5 + \Delta L \] (7.11)

where \( \Delta L \) is a value in dB that accounts for different transmission power, receiver sensitivity, gain of transmitting and receiving antennas, long-term instability of the receiver and possibly near-field scattering. The value of \( \Delta L \) observed in our experiments varies between -2.3 dB and +3.4 dB. We attribute this variability to small changes in antenna pointing from one measurement to the next, to slightly different positioning of the laptop on the small table we used, leading to different scattering in the vicinity of antennas, and to a slow oscillation of received power level that we can observe with a period of about 20 minutes, which may be the effect of thermal instability within the PCMCIA cards.
7.5 Recommendations for simulation practitioners

The most commonly used propagation models in ns-2 suffer from significant inaccuracies. First, they neglect the received power “hole” that may prevent reception at about 15 m distance outdoor. Second, they do not consider slow power level random variations. Third, they use a threshold receiving power for deciding whether a frame is correctly received.

For a generic simulation we recommend using (7.10), using the parameters listed in Table 7.1 and a value for $R$ computed as in Equations (7.11) and (7.3). For $\epsilon_r$ we recommend a value of 15, and the use of vertical polarisation, which is both commonly used and the worst case. A value of $\Delta L$ set to 0 dB means a range of 200 m at 11 Mb/s. If one wants to simulate a receiver with a better/worse sensitivity, $\Delta L$ should be increased/decreased by the corresponding dB value. Alternatively, if one wants to increase the range by a factor $\alpha$, they should set $\Delta L = 40 \log_{10}(\alpha)$. A program for computing the packet loss probability using the described criteria is available at http://wnet.isti.cnr.it/software/wifiper.m.

A Gaussian fading process with 1 Hz bandwidth and -5 dB standard deviation power should be superimposed to the received power, especially for simulation involving still nodes and dynamic rate switching. As shown in [14], attenuations up to 10 dB for each antenna due to pointing are reasonable assumptions. This means that each node should define a suitable attenuation value with respect to each other by reducing $\Delta L$ for anything but a perfect pointing. For moving nodes, this attenuation should be made a time-varying random variable depending on the mobility model.


Indoor channels are highly dependent upon the placement of walls and partitions within the building. As placement of these walls and partitions dictates the signal path inside a building. In such cases, a model of the environment is a useful design tool in constructing a layout that leads to efficient communication strategies. To achieve this aim, a channel model of an indoor environment must be applied to various layout plans of offices which will lead to the characterization of design methodologies.

A channel model is useful in determining the mechanisms by which propagation in the indoor environment occurs, which in turn is useful in the development of a communication system. By examining the details of how a signal is propagated from the transmitter to the receiver for a number of experimental locations, a generic model may be developed that highlights the important characteristics of a given indoor environment. Generic models of indoor communications can then be applied to specific situations to describe the operation of a radio system, and may also be used to generate designs that are particularly suited to supporting radio communication systems.

In this chapter we describe a preliminary results of a measurement campaign carried out in the research institute ISTI in Pisa (IT). Figure 8.1 depicts the arrangement of the relevant area of the building, at the first floor, where thin concrete walls delimit the rooms. The dashed line represents the shortest radio path between the sender and the receiver (about 15 meters). Even if we carried out an extended measurements campaign, in this dissertation we present only one, because all data trace showed the same characteristics. The measurements are done with a flow of 1000-byte frames spaced by 50 ms, at a fixed 11Mb/s rate with fragmentation and retransmission disabled.
Fig. 8.1. Plant of the building where the measurements have been taken; T and R are the transmitter and receiver laptops used for the measurements.

This chapter is subdivided in two main section; Section 8.1 address the main frame level statistics, while section 8.2 focuses on presents the results obtained at bit level.

8.1 Frame level statistics

Figures 8.2 8.3 and 8.4 show the trend of received frames (green line), lost frames (red line) and corrupted frames (blue line). Each points are obtained averaging 1200 frames. These Figures show that exist three different state characterized by a different variance, for instance the Figure 8.2 clearly represent this concept during Wednesday, Thursday and Sunday. The rapidly changing quality of the wireless channel is probably owing to persons during the working hours, but even it is due to portable computer, doors and so forth that, during the measures, change one’s place. Generally speaking the presence of working persons may change the frame error rates partly because they cause an environment variation and therefore a change of fading and the multipath conditions.
Fig. 8.2. Average of received, lost and corrupted frames during the first week.

Fig. 8.3. Average of received, lost and corrupted frames during the second week.
Fig. 8.4. Average of received, lost and corrupted frames during the third week.

Figures 8.5 and 8.6 show the probability mass functions of burst and gap, for the entire trace. Figure 8.5 shows that the burst length distribution is well approximated by a straight line function in log-log scale. While the gap length distribution in Figure 8.6 cannot be approximated by it.
Fig. 8.5. Probability mass function of burst length at frame level.

Fig. 8.6. Probability mass function of gap length at frame level.
8.2 Bit level statistics

In order to investigate the bit error statistics in the indoor environment we use a modified card driver that does not discard frames received with a bad CRC, and our receiver program which is then able to log bit corruptions by comparing expected with received bits.

Figure 8.7 shows the gap distribution, this distribution is probably due to the Complementary Code Keying standardized in IEEE802.11. In fact by analysing this distribution we verified that the gap distribution is obtained by adding 8 curves with the same amplitude but with a different phase.

![Probability mass function of gap length at bit level.](image)

Figure 8.7. Probability mass function of gap length at bit level.

Figure 8.8 shows the burst distribution at bit level, we can observe that the probability to have a burst length equal to 8 is $10^{-3}$. 

An important statistic is the number of errored bits per frame. Because of fading and multipath conditions wireless channels have error rates that are typically around $10^{-2}$; however, most applications require that the error rates be significantly smaller (in most case $10^{-5}$ or less). In addition to the poor channel quality, the design of wireless frame system is complicated by the rapidly changing quality of the wireless channel. It is therefore crucial that appropriate error control protocols be developed that are well suitable to the application being supported. Many paper [2, 3, 4] describe a FEC technique in order to increase the performances in a multicast wireless networks, or an ARQ technique in a unicast wireless networks (already implemented in IEEE802.11). These mechanisms are often combined (Hybrid ARQ mechanisms) by using an acknowledgments to adjust the quality of redundancy of FEC codes [1]. In section 2.2 we have shown that the video quality is acceptable when using a frame redundancy greater than 30%. Figure 8.9 show that using a bit redundancy equal to 1.25% (100 bits in 1000 bytes) we can recovery 90% of frames corruption. By using this information, the gap and the burst distribution, we can evaluate what type of codes can be more appropriate in order to improve the quality of the communication channel.
Fig. 8.9. Probability mass function of number of errored bits per frame
In this chapter, we concentrate on frame error models targeted to TCP-based applications, for which the combination of high, correlated packet loss and high latency may cause very bad performance. Since TCP interprets packet loss as a sign of congestion, thus slowing its pace to avoid worsening the network conditions, frame errors due to corruption causes decreased throughput, even in the absence of network congestion. Various techniques exist that tackle this problem [4], but no definitive answers yet. This is consequently a widely studied research topic, often by means of simulations, for a variety of reasons, related partly to the usual simulation advantages, such as repeatability, ease in changing the problem’s parameters and statistical significance, and partly to the peculiarities of the environment, namely the fact that satellite links are expensive and not widely available, especially for high bit rates.

A complete packet error model requires three levels of operation: a frame error model at the raw channel level, an implementation of the Wi-Fi ARQ (automatic retransmission) mechanism, and an implementation of the vendor-dependent speed switching mechanism. The first level gives a synthetic statistical representation of the frame error process on the raw channel due to one of two effects: bad preamble acquisition and, for correctly acquired frames, bad CRC due to corrupted bits in the MAC protocol data unit. The second level implements the ARQ mechanism defined in the IEEE 802.11 standard, by which a transmitter retries sending a frame up to a configurable number of times – typically 7 – when it does not receive an acknowledgement for the frame sent. The third level implements an adaptive modulation and coding scheme, by which the sender may choose to switch to a different transmission speed when the perceived channel conditions change. This study tackles the first two levels out of the three that are required for a complete packet error model. It aims at choosing an adequate statistical model for the raw channel frame error by studying how TCP behaves on a fixed-speed channel with ARQ.
9.1 Link Characteristics

In the proposed hybrid scenario, two links with very different characteristics are traversed by the TCP flow. Each link, from the point of view of TCP, is defined by four characteristics:

- capacity, which is the available rate seen at the IP level;
- latency, that is the time a packet takes to traverse the link;
- buffer size, which is the size of the buffer that a router or bridge uses to store packets waiting to be sent on the link;
- segment error, which is a description of the process causing segment drops due to link errors.

9.1.1 Satellite link

As far as the capacity is concerned, we considered a 2 Mb/s link, which is a common commercial figure.

As far as the delay is concerned, the geostationary channel introduces a signal delay of around 250 ms, the exact number depending on the satellite’s position with respect to the earth stations. To this, one should add the processing delays at the earth stations due to segmentation, interleaving, coding, and the reverse operations at the receiver’s side. When TDMA schemes are used, there is delay due to the frame structure, and possibly delay due to dynamic allocation. Moreover, there are processing delays at the routers, so any latency not smaller than 300 ms is a reasonable assumption.

The routers at the earth stations have buffers on the incoming terrestrial interfaces, where traffic is stored prior to sending on the satellite link. This is necessary for TDMA systems, where packets must wait for the first opportunity to be transmitted, is used by dynamic allocation methods where the allocation can be based on traffic backlog at the earth stations, and is generally useful for absorbing traffic rate fluctuations. From the TCP point of view, moreover, the buffer has the important role of allowing complete utilisation of the link capacity. In fact, it can be shown that the channel can be fully used only if no errors are present and the buffer size is at least equal to $C \times RTT$, where $C$ is the channel capacity and $RTT$ is twice the link latency. We set the buffer in our experiments to exactly the said value, that is, 150 kB.

Geostationary satellite links are commonly depicted as AWGN channels, that is, affected by additive white Gaussian noise. In fact, given the extremely small antenna aperture, the high pointing precision and the absence of mobility, the only relevant noise at the receiver is the thermal noise, which gives rise to uncorrelated bit corruption and, in turn, to uncorrelated frame errors with constant probability; for this reason, in clear sky, we assume a Bernoullian channel, sometimes called a Poisson channel.

In this section, we neglect the issues related to signal fading due to variable atmospheric conditions, which would require a different treatment, and assume a satellite channel with a FER (Frame Error Rate) of $10^{-5}$ which, for our
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purpose, is the same as errorless, because it is about the same FER which is caused by congestion [5]. This figure is consistent with measurements done on a recent Skyplex-based satellite system [6].

9.1.2 Wi-Fi link

Wi-Fi links comply with the IEEE 802.11 [1] standard, which has provisions for ACM (Automatic Coding and Modulation). ACM, which we call speed switching, is widely implemented in commercial Wi-Fi devices, but the algorithm for choosing which speed to adopt is not defined by the standard and still the subject of research. In this section we analyse the behaviour of TCP with different frame error traces at a fixed Wi-Fi nominal rate of 11 Mb/s. Our experiments show that real network cards behave as the standard dictates with very little error [3]. Computations based on the standard give a capacity of 5.3 Mb/s for a unidirectional flow of 1000-data byte packets, long preambles, no fragmentation and RTS/CTS disabled [7].

Our experiments show a latency produced by the driver and hardware around 1 ms, plus delays due to retransmissions greater than 50 ms in less than 0.1% of packets. From the TCP point of view, these delays are not significant, so we considered the latency of the WiFi link as null.

The link buffer has no influence on the TCP dynamics, as long as it can keep few back-to-back segments, because the bottleneck is on the satellite link. Any buffer size greater than four packets’ worth would yield the same results.

As far as the error model is concerned, this is the topic of Section 9.4. Measurement traces and synthetic traces were used, and an ad hoc error model was developed for ns-2 called Ttem (time trace error model). Using this error model, we were able to emulate a Wi-Fi channel affected by noise, where the state of the channel (good or bad) is described by a trace file containing a 0 for a good interval where no error happens and a 1 for a bad interval where a frame is corrupted; we made measurements at 5 ms long intervals. The Ttem error model keeps into account the IEEE 802.11 ARQ algorithm, but no speed switching. Ttem, as a patch to ns-2.29, is available at http://wnet.isti.cnr.it/software/ttem as free software, in order to make it possible for everyone to check, use and modify it.

9.2 Measurements environment

This section compares simulation done using real traces of frame errors on a raw (before ARQ) Wi-Fi channel with synthetic traces of frame errors produced by commonly used models.

We performed a comprehensive measurement campaign [2] using laptops with standard Wi-Fi interfaces configured in ad hoc mode, at different fixed
speeds of 1, 2, 5.5 and 11 Mb/s, fragmentation disabled, retransmission disabled, for different distances in different environments: open air, far from buildings, and office environment with varying numbers of thin walls between the laptops. We used custom software for sending the frames at precisely controlled time intervals and to receive them while registering the signal strength of received frames and the occurrences of lost frames, and we obtained traces of frame losses of various lengths and at different time resolutions.

The traces that are considered for this work are obtained in the research institute ISTI in Pisa (IT), an office building. Fig.9.1 depicts the arrangement of the relevant area of the building, at the first floor, where thin concrete walls delimit the rooms.

![Fig. 9.1. Plant of the building where the measurements have been taken; T and R are the transmitter and receiver laptops used for the measurements.](image)

The transmitter and receiver are two laptops equipped with a Debian GNU/Linux operating system. The transmitter sends a flow of 1000-byte unicast frames spaced by 5 ms, at a fixed 11 Mb/s rate with fragmentation and retransmission disabled. The receiver checks the sequence number inside the frames and keeps a trace of the lost ones. Since the channel occupancy of a frame is about 1.2 ms, this kind of measure traces the indoor channel conditions quite accurately.

### 9.3 Commonly used packet error models

The most common model used to model frame error on a Wi-Fi channel is the Bernoullian process, that is, an error process where each frame experiences the same fixed probability of being corrupted, and frame losses are independent from each other [7, 8]. The main attractiveness of this model is its simplicity and its mathematical tractability. Only one parameter is needed to define
it, usually the mean error probability $p$. A Bernoullian frame error model generates burst of consecutive frame errors (error bursts) whose length is geometrically distributed with mean equal to $1/(1-p)$. The distance between two bursts, that is, the gap length, is geometrically distributed as well, with mean equal to $1/p$.

A slightly more complex model defines the channel as being in one of two states, namely a good state where all frames are successfully received and a bad state where all frames are lost because of corruption [10, 11]. The mathematical modelling of this channel is done via a two-state Markov chain, usually referred to as a Gilbert or Gilbert-Elliott model. In fact, both the Gilbert model [12] and the Elliott model [13] are more complex, the first requiring three parameters and the second requiring four. This is why we refer to the simple good-bad model as a bistable model, where the probability of going from the good to the bad state is $b$ and the probability of going from the bad to the good state is $g$. The stationary probabilities of being in the good and bad states are $P_g = g/(b+g)$ and $P_b = b/(b+g)$, respectively; $P_b$ is also the mean frame error probability. The mean durations of the good and bad states are $1/b$ and $1/g$, respectively; this means that the channel exhibits error bursts of consecutive ones, whose mean length is $1/g$, which are separated by gaps of consecutive zeros whose mean length is $1/b$. Both burst and gap lengths have geometric distributions.

The models mentioned have no long-range memory: the Bernoullian process is memoryless, while the bistable process has memory limited to the previous state. As a consequence, both models have geometrically decaying correlations. However, the frame error traces we measured exhibit remarkably different statistics, which is the main ground for this investigation. As an example, we show a plot of the error gap distribution, a statistic originated with classical studies about bit-level models for telephone wires [12], and that may be significant in the context of TCP, where the length of error-free periods has a role as far as the goodput is concerned. The error gap distributions observed in the measured traces exhibit long polynomial tails, a peculiar trait that is not shared with commonly used models: both Bernoullian and bistable models produce error gap distributions with geometrically decaying tails, as depicted in Fig. 9.2 for the case of a Bernoulli synthetic trace.

### 9.4 TCP on synthetic versus measured packet loss

Even if the real traces exhibit significant statistical differences with respect to the Bernoulli and bistable models, choosing a model for simulation purposes need not be done on the basis of statistical similarity with the real process that is simulated, rather it should be done on the basis of how well the model behaves with respect to the intended purpose of the simulation. Therefore, it may well happen that a simple channel frame error model whose behaviour is
apparently very different from the real packet error process is well suited for simulation in a given environment.

To this aim, we examined how well the two simple channel error models mentioned above perform, when used in modelling the behaviour of TCP in hybrid networks, by using the ns-2 simulator with the Ttem error model, which reads a trace of the channel state, applies the IEEE 802.11 ARQ algorithm and discards packets that cannot be received after a given number of retries, 7 in our case. The performance measure considered is the goodness of fit of a graph of single-connection TCP goodput versus mean frame error rate on the raw channel (before ARQ). We compare the goodput obtained with synthetic Bernoulli and bistable models to the goodput obtained using measured traces in the above-depicted indoor scenario.

First of all, we validate our simulation scenario by comparing Padhie’s formula [14] with the results obtained with a synthetic Bernoulli trace. Padhie’s formula quite accurately models the behaviour of a single TCP connection on a fixed-bandwidth link with uncorrelated segment losses due to either congestion or corruption, neglecting losses on the return channel and considering no link buffer. To compare the simulation’s results with Padhie’s formula, we run 10 instances of a single TCP connection for 2000 seconds, discarded the first 200 seconds to comply with Padhie’s steady-state assumption, and considered the mean RTT, RTO and forward segment error rates of the 10 runs for frame error rates ranging from 2% to 30%. As shown in Figure 9.3, the median values
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of the goodput over 10 ns-2 runs and Padhie’s formula have a good agreement in the high error region, where the link buffer is mostly empty, while Padhie underestimates the goodput in the low error region, where the buffer influence becomes important.

![Graph showing goodput and related metrics](image)

Fig. 9.3. Goodput as computed with Padhie’s formula and with a trace with Bernoullian frame error.

We then made the comparison that is the aim of this section. Taking the steady-state TCP single-connection goodput as a performance measure, we see how well different statistical models fit the measured frame error traces. We consider four different models in addition to the real traces, as shown in Table 9.1.

Table 9.1 is worth some comments. When using a Bernoulli process, which is defined by a single parameter, fitting it to observed data means choosing a significant statistical parameter of the observed data. The choice of such a parameter is not obvious. In our case, the simplest choice of a parameter is the mean FER. However, there are some good reason why choosing a different parameter could be wiser. One candidate as an alternative to the FER is the mean burst length, an important parameter with respect to ARQ performance: the longer the error bursts, the higher the probability that ARQ cannot recover a lost frame. Another candidate is the mean gap length, which is related to TCP performance. As it is observed in [15], TCP performance is higher for higher burstiness of the segment error process, because the congestion window
Table 9.1. Traces used in the simulation experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>Bernoulli process having the same frame error rate as the real traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>Bernoulli process having the same mean burst length as the real traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c)</td>
<td>Bernoulli process having the same mean gap length as the real traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>Bistable process having the same frame error rate, mean burst length and mean gap length as the original traces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>The real traces</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

has a higher probability of becoming big if gaps are long. In fact, the measured error process has both longer bursts and longer gaps than a Bernoulli process with the same FER.

In Fig. 9.4, the goodput is plotted versus the frame error rate of the real traces.

![Fig. 9.4. TCP goodput versus frame error rate of real traces for different error models.](image)

First of all, it is clear that (a), the simplest process, does not adequately model TCP’s goodput at different frame error rates: the goodput with (a) is at least twice the one of (e); moreover, the behaviour is different, as (e)
is convex, while (a) is not. Using the mean burst length as the parameter of interest gives the same behaviour as the real traces, as it can be seen by comparing (b) with (e), but the values are very different. As discussed above, this observation is a hint that the behaviour of ARQ is dominant in the chosen performance measure, that is, the TCP goodput. Apparently, the gap length, on the other hand, is much less important, as using it as the parameter for tuning the Bernoulli process does not yield satisfactory results: the trace for the (c) case is the one farthest from (e). One reason could be that, as shown in Fig. 9.2, the distribution of gap lengths in the real process has a polynomial, rather than exponential, tail implying that a Bernoulli process with the same mean gap length as the real traces has a lower FER than that of the real traces.

We considered until now a model with a single parameter. With the bistable model, we have two parameters, hence a greater flexibility. The three statistics of mean frame error rate, mean burst length and mean gap length are related, so they are defined by only two parameters, which implies that they can be simultaneously fitted using the bistable model. In fact, the bistable model fits the chosen performance measure best: the plot of (d) is nearest to (e), while still a considerable distance away.

9.5 Conclusion

When choosing a model for the frame error rate process on a Wi-Fi channel, measurements coupled with simulation experiments using ns-2 show that none of the simplest models can be used to obtain an accurate estimate of the TCP goodput. Neither the Bernoulli process nor the good-bad bistable process with two parameters (sometimes referred to as Gilbert-Elliott process) are adequate when the main statistics of FER, mean burst length and mean gap length are considered.

This conclusion implies that more work is needed in this area, in order to provide researchers and simulation practitioners with reliable models for the frame error process at the channel level. The first direction of investigation should be directed to considering whether the simple Bernoulli or bistable processes could be used for TCP performance evaluation, by choosing parameters different from the FER and the mean burst or gap lengths. Should this turn out to be infeasible, the research should be directed to different, possibly more complex error models. Similar research methods should be used for estimating performance measure other than TCP’s goodput, such as audio or video performance, ARQ performance or Wi-Fi channel capacity.

One thing that is conspicuously missing from the above analysis is the speed switching behaviour of the Wi-Fi interface. In order to model this behaviour, one would need channel measurements done at different speeds in different conditions in addition to choosing a speed switching algorithm, whose
behaviour would greatly influence the performance measure under study, and that could itself be the object of research.

One more extension of this work could be considering the frame error process caused by atmospheric fading on the satellite channel, in addition to the one relative to the Wi-Fi channel.
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